Of

### WITNESSES

Defense! Witnesses	Page
OKAMOTO, Suemasa (resumed)	29921
Direct by Hr. YAMAOKA (cont'd)	29921
(Witness excused)	29932
ISHII, Itaro	29969
Direct by Mr. YAMAOKA Direct (cont'd) by Mr. ITO	29969 29974
Questions by the President of the Tribunal, Sir William Webb	29976
Cross by Mr. Comyns Carr	29977
Questions by the President of the Tribunal, Sir William Webb	29978
Cross (cont'd) by Mr. Comyns Carr	29980
Questions by the President of the Tribunal, Sir William Webb	29981
Cross (cont'd) by Mr. Comyns Carr	29983
Questions by the President of the Tribunal, Sir William Webb	29986
NOON RECESS	29986
Cross (cont'd) by Mr. Comyns Carr	29987
Redirect by Mr. YAMAOKA	29995
(Witness excused)	29997

Of

WITNESSES

Defense! Witnesses	Page
ARITA, Hachiro (recalled)	30003
Direct by Mr. YAMAOKA Direct (cont'd) by Mr. Brooks	30003
Questions by the President of Tribunal, Sir William Webb	30010
Cross by lir. Comyns Carr	30014
AFTERNOON RECESS	30016
. Cross (cont'd) by Mr. Comyns C	arr 30016
(Witness excus	ed) 30016
MATSUDAIRA, Yasumasa (recalled)	30020
Direct by Mr. HANAI Direct (cont'd) by Mr. HOZUMI	30020 30026
(Witness excus	ed) 30028

Of

### EXHIBITS

Doc. No.	Dof.	Pros. No.	Description	For I	In
2029	3277		Tolegram from Foreign Minister HIROTA to Ambassador KAWAGOE dated 7 August 193		921
2541	3278		Sworn Deposition of HAYASHI, Kaoru of Foreign Office sta that the original well as copies of Telegram of Foreig Minister HIROTA ad ed to Witness OKAM were lost in the f during the war	ting as the n dress- OTO ire	931
, 206-D(4)	3279		America Still Tries Keep the Peace (1s paragraph only)	t	934
2030	3280		Telegram from Foreig Minister HIROTA to Ambassador KAWAGOE dated 8 August 193 Instructions for T Negotiations with Chinese Government	7 re ruce the	935
2031	3280 <b>-</b> A		Telegram from Foreig Minister HIROTA to Ambassador KAWAGOE dated 8 August 193 Conditions of Truc Negotiations	7 re	935
2032	3280-B		Telegram from Foreig Minister HIROTA to Ambassador KAWAGOE dated 8 August 193 Truce Negotiation	7 re	935

of

### EXHIBITS

Doc. No.	Dof.	Pros.	Doscription	For Ident.	In Evidence
2065	3280-0	•	Telegram from Foreign Minister HIROTA to Ambassador KAWAGOE dated 8 August 193 Outline of the Plan for Overall Adjust of Sino-Japanese R lations	7 re n ment	29935
2066	3280-1	)	Telegram from Foreig Minister HIROTA to Ambassador KAWAGOE dated 8 August 193 Truce Negotiations	7 <b>r</b> e	29935
206-D(6)	3281		Excerpt from the Dia Ambassador Grew da 20 September 1937 217) - Warning to	ted (page	29948
206-D(9)	3282		Excerpt from the Dia Ambassador Grew da 20 Soptember 1937 218-219) - Strong to a Helpless Gove	ted (pages Words	29949
206-D(11)	3283		Excerpt from the Dia Ambassador Grew da 21-22 October 1937 221-222) - Japan R to Attend the Brus Conference	ted (pages lefuses	29954
206-D(14)	3284		Excerpt from the Dia Ambassador Grew da 16 November 1937 ( 225-226) - HIROTA America of Initiat Anti-Japanese From	ted pages Accuses ing An	29956

Of

EXHIBITS

Doc. No.	Def. No.	Pros.	Doscription	For In Ident Evidenc
206-D(17)	3285		Excerpt from the Diam of Ambassador Grew dated 13 December 1 We Receive the News the Panay Sinking	937 -
			MORNING RECESS	29965
502	3286		Excerpt from "Behind Japanese Mask" by F Hon. Sir Robert Cra (page 52)	it.
2149	3287		Affidavit of ISHII, I	taro 29969
253 <b>9</b>	3287-A		Sworn Deposition of H Kaoru of the Foreig Office showing that originals as well a copies of the teleg and written reports ferred to in Defens Document 2149 (Aft of ISHII, Itaro) we lost by fire during war and cannot be	the sthe rams re- se ridavit ere the
2157	3288		Minutes of the Processor of the House of Representatives Committee the Budget at the Session of the Impediet, 29 January 19 Answer of the Minis State HIROTA	ore- ee on 73rd erial

Of

### EXHIBITS

Doc. No.	Def. No.	Pros.	Description	For Ident.E	In
2165	3289		Minutes of the Proceedings of the Budget Committee of the Hou of Representatives a the 73rd Session of Imperial Diet, 4 Mar 1938 - Answer of the Minister of State HI	the	3000.
2552	3290		Affidavit of ARITA, Hachiro		30004
2575	3291		Affidavit of Admiral YONAI, Mitsumasa		30017
2604	3292		Affidavit of MATSUDAIR Yasumasa	Α,	30024
2610	3293		Affidavit of Tadeusz R Polish Ambassador t Japan re certain co versations he had w HIROTA during Janua 1941	on- ith	30028
2600(100)	3294		Excerpt from "Ten Year Japan" Diary of Amb Grew (page 369) - J ese-American Relati Never Looked Darker	assador apan- ons	30036

Friday, 3 October 1947

INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL
FOR THE FAR EAST
Court House of the Tribunal
War Ministry Building
Tokyo, Japan

The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment, at 0930.

### Appearances:

For the Tribunal, all Members sitting, with the exception of: HONORABLE JUSTICE HENRI BERNARD, Member from the Republic of France, not sitting from 0930 to 1600 and HONORABLE JUSTICE E. H. NORTHCROFT, Member from the Dominion of New Zealand, not sitting from 1330 to 1600.

For the Prosecution Section, same as before. For the Defense Section, same as before.

(English to Japanese and Japanese to English interpretation was made by the Language Section, IMTFE.) MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International
Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

THE PRESIDENT: With the Tribunal's permission, the accused KIDO will be absent from the courtroom for the whole of the morning session conferring with his counsel.

Mr. Yamaoka. -

SUEMASA OKAMOTO, recalled as a witness on behalf of the defense, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

MR. YAMAOKA: May it please: the Tribunal, at this time I should like to introduce in evidence the telegram listed as No. 69 on our order or proof, defense document 2029.

THE PRESIDENT: It is admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2029 will receive exhibit No. 3277.

(Whereunon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3277 and received in evidence.)

MR. YAMAOKA: I shall read exhibit 3277:

"FROM: Foreign Minister HIROTA.

8 9

3

4

5

6

7

11

10

13

14

15 16

17

18

20

21

22

24

"TO: Ambassador KAWAGOE (China)

"Dispatched: August 7, 1937.

"Subject: Draft Proposal of the Truce.

"No. Code (Machine).

"Strictly Secret. Urgent. Exclusive
Code for Chiefs of Mission. Departmental Secret.
"Separate telegram:

"1. The area mostly in Hopei Province on the east and north of the cities and towns adjacent to the right bank of the Yungting River and the Hai River, and six provinces of Chapei will be designated as a demilitarized zone; and the Tangku Trace Agreement, etc. will be abrogated. (Needless to say, the Central Army which is now in Hopei Province should once evacuate from that province.)

"2. Consent to the liquidation of the Hopei-Chahar (and of the East Hopei administration as well, according to circumstances) and to the direct administration of the area by the Nanking Government.

"In connection with the above, the principle of economic cooperation between Japan and China in North China to be arranged."

There is a certificate attached, which I shall not read.

29,923

Now, continuing with exhibit 3274 --

THE PRESIDENT: The affidavit of the

witness?

MR. YAMAOKA: The affidavit of the present witness, on page 2 of the English copy:

"Mr. KAWAGOYE, the then Japanese Ambassador to China (who had left Shanghai on July 7 or thereabout for Tientsin, and stayed in North China) was not in Stanghai, being on his way back to that city from North China. That was the reason why the above-mentioned telegraphic instructions were addressed to me. Besides, the Japanese Embassy was located in the consulate-general at Shanghai, at that time, and I was holding the post of Counsellor of the Embassy in addition to my post of consul-general.

"Upon receipt of the above telegraphic instructions, I summoned Mr. TSUTSUMI to call on me, and asked him to start for Nanking instantly, to see Mr. Kao Tsung-wu.

"On the following day, August 7, it so happened that Mr. KAWAGOYE returned to Shanghai from North China and saw me at my official residence. I reported the matter to the Ambassador.

"The same evening Mr. FUNATSU returned to

2 3

. 1

4 5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

.17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

18

19

21

22 23

24

25

the Ambassador that night. As a result of the consultation it was decided that the Ambassador in person would meet Mr. Kao Tsung-wu.

"The conversation between Mr. KAWAGOYE,

Shanghai from Tokyo, and had a consultation with

the Ambassador, and Mr. Kao, the Director of the Bureau of Asiatic Affairs, was held on or about the 10th of August at the Ambassador's official residence. On that occasion Mr. Kao sought in his private capacity the Ambassador's opinion on the speedy settlement of the situation in North China. The Ambassador thereupon told him that although he had not yet received instructions from the Japanese Government to open negotiations in this connection, it was the policy of the Japanese Government as well to settle the present unfortunate incident as soon as possible. As for the terms of settlement, he was of the opinion that these must after all be of such a nature that both governments of Japan and China could accept them from their respective standpoints. He then set forth his own tentative plan of settlement.

"The tentative plan of the Ambassador was of the same line with the terms mentioned in the instructions from the Foreign Office, which

. 17

-70

could be summarized to the following three points:

(1) Establishment of demilitarized zones in the northeast regions of the cities along both banks of River Paiho. (2) Dissolution of the Chi-chu Administrative Committee and the Chi-tung Regime.

(3) Economic cooperation between Japan and China in North China shall be agreed upon.

"Copies of these telegrams which were kept at the Department of Foreign Affairs escaped fire and are preserved to this day. Detailed information, therefore, can be obtained from these copies.

"To this Mr. Kao stated that, although he expected that there might be some difficulties, he thought that there was a hope of success of negotiation if the terms were of this nature and extent. He would therefore go back to Nanking to consult with his superiors and call on the Ambassador again with the result of such consultation. The contents of these conversations between Mr. KAWAGOYE and Mr. Kao were revealed to me at that time by Mr. KAWAGOYE himself. On August 9, however, First-Lieutenant OYAMA was brutally murdered. A few days after that, Shanghai district became the scene of armed conflict between the

Japanese and Chinese forces. The conversation between Mr. KAWAGOYE and Mr. Kao was interrupted, in this way, without bearing any fruit."

If the Tribuval please, due to an over-

If the Tribunal please, due to an oversight I forgot to read into the record exhibit 3276, which was admitted at the very end of the day yesterday.

THE PRESIDENT: Defense document 2028, No. 68 on the list.

MR. YAMAOKA: May I read it?

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, you may read it.

MR. YAMAOKA: I shall read exhibit 3276:

"From: Foreign Minister HIROTA.

"To: Ambassador KAWAGOE (China).

"Dispatched: August 7, 1937.

"Subject: Commencement of Truce Negotiations between Japan and China.

"No. Code (Machine).

"(Strictly secret. Urgent. Exclusive code for Chiefs of Mission. Departmental secret.)

"1. As a result of active consultations among the War, Navy and Foreign Ministries on the means of settling the present situation, it is now hoped that an agreement will be reached along the lines set forth in a separate message which is

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

25

24

ment policy in the course of a day or two.

"2. Meanwhile, from various consideration, as it is highly desirable that the form should be kept that the initiative of the truce negotiations came from the Chinese, arrangements have been made to dispatch FUNAZU at once, as per my telegram by exclusive code for chiefs of mission to Shanghai dated the 4th (reinforcements of three divisions to be dispatched from Japan are expected to finish their concentration by August 20th, and we think it very important that an agreement of some sort should be reached before that date) who is to see Kao Tsung-wu in greatest secrecy and inspire into Kao his personal view that there is a prospect of peaceful settlement and persuade him to approach you with a proposal of truce. It would be most unwelcome that Mr. Kao, or the like, should respond by an indefinite proposal as a mere feeler, as it is imperative that, if the Chinese make a peace proposal at all, it should be founded upon a certain amount of determination on their part. seems to me that Kao's proposal to you must be made with the full understanding of Chiang Kai-shek

2 3

5

6

7

8

10

1.1

1.2

14

16

17

18

20

21

23

24

to a certain extent. (FANAZU is informed of this last point).

truce negotiations in response to the above arrangement, providing it is made with the understanding of Chiang, (a) if our government had already decided on the policy stated in paragraph 1 and telegraphed instructions to you accordingly, you may proceed with preliminary conferences with Kao along the lines of the above policy; (b) or, if you had not received by that time a telegraph to the effect that the government had decided on its policy along the lines above stated, you will ask for instructions immediately, reporting at the same time the details of Kao's proposal.

ment on its policy, it is necessary from various considerations that the foregoing should be kept in strictest secrecy (even from military or naval attaches) and that utmost care should be taken not to bring about opposition from outsiders. Therefore, it is my view that personal contact between you and FUNAZU had better be avoided for the time being.

"5. Further, the government is willing,

parallel with the above truce negotiations, or pursuant thereto, to open parleys for the adjustment of diplomatic relations from a standpoint quite free from past circumstances, and active consultations among quarters concerned are progressing. This, too, is expected to be decided upon in two or three days.

"Only a very limited number of persons
even at the top of the army and navy are informed
of this matter, and we are trying to arrive at
a decision first and then to force it through.
In the meantime, the foregoing is strictly for
your personal information.

"Transmitted to: Shanghai, together with separate telegram."

You may now cross-examine.

MR. COMYNS CARR: May it please the Tribunal, the prosecution does not propose to cross-examine this witness.

4 5

7 8

THE PRESIDENT: You don't want this witness further today; he is released on the usual terms.

MR. YAMAOKA: If the Tribunal please, there is one other matter concerning this witness. I specifically refer to No. 65 on our order of proof. The Tribunal will undoubtedly recall that this witness OKAMOTO has previously testified in the case, from page 21,144 of the record, and that his previous affidavit was admitted in evidence as exhibit 2515. Owing to objections by the prosecution, certain portions of this exhibit were omitted. I particularly refer to paragraphs 6 and 7 of said exhibit. The prosecution's objection was that these paragraphs should be deleted since they purported to give the contents of a document not produced or accounted for, and the objection was sustained by the Tribunal.

No. 66 on our order of proof, defense document 2541, is offered in evidence, being the affidavit of HAYASHI, Kaoru, of the Foreign Office, showing that the original as well as copies of the telegram of Foreign Minister HIROTA addressed to this witness and referred to in exhibit 2515 were lost in the fire durithe war and cannot presently be found in the files of the Foreign Office.

We, therefore, request, in the light of this

affidavit, permission to read into evidence paragraphs
6 and 7 of said exhibit.
Accordingly, I now offer in evidence defense
document 2541.
THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2541

Will receive exhibit No. 3278.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3278 and received in evidence.)

MR. YAMAOKA: Now, referring to paragraphs
No. 6 and 7 in the English copy of exhibit 2515,
which I shall read for the purpose of the record--

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. There is no objection now, I understand. It is still part of exhibit 2515. We will not give it a separate number.

MR. YAMAOKA: Yes. I shall read paragraphs 6 and 7:

"6) On July 7, 1937, the Merco Polo Bridge Incident broke out. It brought sericus anxiety to the Chinese and foreigners at Shanghai.

"At that time, the HAYASHI Cabinet had already fallen and Prince KONOYE formed a catinet. The Foreign Minister was Mr. HIROTA. A few days after the

6

7

10

12

13

15

16

18

19

21

22

24

outbreak of the incident, I received instructions by telegraph from the government.

"The instructions were as follows: 'The government is maintaining the policy of local solution and of no-enlargement of this incident. You shall take all possible means to prevent any incidents at Shanghai.' Instructions bearing the same import reached my hands on two or three successive occasions.

"7) Answering the anxious inquiries from
the Chinese, the foreigners and from consuls, I
explained the spirit of the instruction from the government, and told them that I could assure them, in view
of the local solution policy of the government, the
incident would not spread to Shanghai."

I desire to announce, if the Tribunal please, that No. 70 and 71 on our order of proof, defense documents 2156 and 2169, respectively, are withdrawn.

THE PRESIDENT: Is he to be cross-examined on his first affidavit, the two paragraphs just admitted?

MR. COMYNS CARR: No, your Honor.

MR. YAMAOKA: May the witness be released on the usual terms?

THE PRESIDENT: He is released accordingly.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

3.

1.

6

7 8

9

10

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

22

23 24

MR. YAMAOKA: We offer in evidence another excerpt from the diary of Ambassador Grew, dated August 6, 1936, being defense document 206-D(4).

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Brown.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the prosecution bjects to part of this document. We object to the whole of this document except paragraph 1.

It will be seen that apart from the very short second paragraph, which has no point unless the rest follows, the whole of the rest of the document is morely opinion. It starts, "I have come to the following conclusions."

MR. YAMAOKA: If the Tribunal please, this discloses the activities of the British and American authorities relative to the situation in August, 1937; and we thought that this evidence would be--

THE PRESIDENT: But the first paragraph is all that really shows the operation of the British .

Ambassador. The rest records his views.

MR. YAMAOKA: We present it in the hope that it will be helpful to the Tribunal in understanding the situation.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection is upheld, and the document admitted as to the first paragraph only, on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Lefense document 206-D(4) will receive exhibit No. 3279.

THE PRESIDENT: That is a majority decision.

(Whereupon, the document above

referred to was marked defense exhibit

No. 3279 and received in evidence.)

MR. YAMAOKA: I shall read exhibit 3279 as admitted, omitting the title.

"August 6, 1937.

made to our London Ambassador on July 28, now proposes an Anglo-American offer of good offices to both the Japanese and the Chinese in providing neutral ground where plenipotentiaries could meet and in helping to smooth out such difficulties as might occur in the nogotiations arrangements for withdrawing the troops to follow, but before acting they wanted Dodds' and my opinion as to the probable reaction in Japan to such an offer."

We now offer in evidence defense documents
listed as follows: 2030, corrected copy, 2031, 2032,
2065 and 2066. These five telegrams are all part of
a connected instruction of Foreign Minister HIROTA to
the Japanese Ambassador in China for truce negotiations
with the Chinese Government.

1 2

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2030

will receive exhibit No. 3280. Defense document 2031

will receive exhibit No. 3280-A. Defense document

2032 will receive exhibit No. 3280-B. Defense document

2065 will receive exhibit No. 3280-C. Defense document

2066 will receive exhibit No. 3280-D.

(Whereupon, defense documents

No. 2030, 2031, 2032, 2065 and 2066 were

marked defense exhibits No. 3280, 3280-A,

3280-B, 3280-C, and 3280-D, respectively,

and received in evidence.)

MR. YAMAOKA: If the Tribunal please, I shall read exhibit 3280.

"To: Ambassador KAWAGOE (China).

"Dispatched: August 8, 1937.

"Subject: Truce Negotiation.

"No. 169 Code (Strictly Secret. Urgent, To Be Treated as Message in Exclusive Code for Chiefs of Mission).

"1. The object of dispatching Imperial troops to North China is as set forth in the cabinet resolution of July 11, and although we were forced subsequently by unlawful acts of the 29th Army to drive

it out, a punitive expedition itself is no part of our intention.

"Should the Chinese be brought to reflect and sue for peace, realizing what ought to be the normal course of Sino-Japanese relations, it should be the generous attitude of our Empire, which considers itself a stabilizing force in the Orient, to show appreciation of their attitude and to cooperate with China for the brightening of the relations between the two countries, giving due consideration to the standpoint of the Nan-king Government and extending a helping hand where it finds itself in difficulties.

"Hence, on the 7th, it was agreed among the War, Navy and Foreign Ministries that not only will the Chinese peace proposal be entertained along the lines set forth in separate telegram No. 170, but also to take one big stride towards the improvement of Sino-Japanese relations with the present incident as a turning point.

"2. Accordingly, when the Chinese make a proposal of truce, if you are convinced that it is in good faith, you may enter into negotiations, bearing in mind the instructions in the separate telegram (you will see, if possible, that the Chinese make the first move), and report the manner of their approach and ask

7 8

for instructions. As the Chinese seem to have come at long last to talk of the recognition of Manchukuo, it will be all the better if they can be persuaded with one stroke to recognize Manchukuo at this time.

expresses our innermost mind, you are requested, while dealing, to keep it to yourself as far as possible and first try to lead the negotiations as favorably for us as possible. But as you will naturally understand, the broadminded policy of our government will probably be beyond the expectation of the Chinese themselves and is worthy of winning the respect of the whole world for the fair and disinterested attitude of our Empire. Therefore, you will first see to bringing home to the Chinese the underlying thoughts of our proposal.

"Transmitted to: Shanghai, together with separate telegrams No. 170, 171 and 172."

I shall now read exhibit 3280-A: 1 "FROM: FOREIGN MINISTER HIROTA 2 "TO: AMBASSADOR KAWAGOE (CHINA) 3 "DISPATCHED: August 8, 1937 4 "SUBJECT: CONDITIONS OF TRUCE NEGOTIATIONS "No. 170 CODE (STRICTLY SECRET. 6 HANDLED IN THE SAME MANNER AS MESSAGE IN EXCLUSIVE CODE FOR CHIEFS OF MISSION) "Separate Telegram: 9 "A. Establishment of a demilitarized zone. 10 "The following will be designated as demili-11 tarized zones, within which no Chinese troops are to 12 be stationed. 13 "In these areas, peace will be maintained by 14 a peace preservation corps. The number and equipments 15 of this corps will be stipulated separately. 16 17 "Notes 18 "Plan No. 1 "Area to the east and north of the line con-19 necting Tehua, Changpei, Kwailai, Mentoukou, Kuan, 20 21 Yungching, Hsinan, Choliuchen, Hsinnungchen, and 22 Kaoshachen, inclusive. (It goes without saying that 23 this is the plan to be presented first.) 24 "Plan No. 2 . 25 "Kwailai in Plan No. 1 to be substituted by

Lungmen and Yenching.

### "Plan No. 3

"Area to the east and north of the line, inclusive, connecting Tehua, Changpei, Kwailai, and Mentoukou and the area adjoining same on the left bank of the Yungting River and the Hai River with Hopei Province (including Chiangshingtien, the neighboring hills and the area surrounding Tientsing).

#### "Plan No. 4

"Kwailai in Plan No. 3 is substituted by Lungmen and Yenching.

"B. The limit of our concession.

"(1) To indicate, if necessary, that we have an intention to voluntarily reduce the number of our troops as much as possible, within the extent of the number at the time of the outbreak of the Incident.

"(2) Abrogation of the Tangku Truce (including all commitments resting upon it), the DOHIHARAChin Te-Chun Agreement and the UMEZO-Ho Ying-chin
Agreement, with the exception of all understandings
based upon the Peiping Arrangement, namely: relative
to a) taking over of all the gateways of the Great
Wall; b) vehicular communication; c) establishment
of customs; d) opening of postal service; e) aviation; and f) promises to rigidly suppress anti-

for instructions. As the Chinese seem to have come at long last to talk of the recognition of Manchukuo, it will be all the better if they can be persuaded with one stroke to recognize Manchukuo at this time.

expresses our innermost mind, you are requested, while dealing, to keep it to yourself as far as possible and first try to lead the negotiations as favorably for us as possible. But as you will naturally understand, the broadminded policy of our government will probably be beyond the expectation of the Chinese themselves and is worthy of winning the respect of the whole world for the fair and disinterested attitude of our Empire. Therefore, you will first see to bringing home to the Chinese the underlying thoughts of our proposal.

"Transmitted to: Shanghai, together with separate telegrams No. 170, 171 and 172."

I shall now read exhibit 3280-A: "FROM: FOREIGN MINISTER HIROTA 2 "TO: AMBASSADOR KAWAGOE (CHINA) "DISPATCHED: August 8, 1937 4 "SUBJECT: CONDITIONS OF TRUCE NEGOTIATIONS "No. 170 CODE (STRICTLY SECRET. 6 HANDLED IN THE SAME MANNER AS MESSAGE IN EXCLUSIVE CODE FOR CHIEFS OF MISSION) 8 "Separate Telegram: 9 "A. Establishment of a demilitarized zone. 10 "The following will be designated as demili-11 tarized zones, within which no Chinese troops are to 12 be stationed. 13 "In these areas, peace will be maintained by 14 a peace preservation corps. The number and equipments 15 of this corps will be stipulated separately. 16 17 "Notes 18 "Plan No. 1 "Area to the east and north of the line con-19 20 necting Tehua, Changpei, Kwailai, Mentoukou, Kuan, 21 Yungching, Hsinan, Choliuchen, Hsinnungchen, and 22 Kaoshachen, inclusive. (It goes without saying that 23 this is the plan to be presented first.) 24 "Plan No. 2 . 25 "Kwailai in Plan No. 1 to be substituted by

Lungmen and Yenching.

### "Plan No. 3

"Area to the east and north of the line, inclusive, connecting Tehua, Changpei, Kwailai, and Mentoukou and the area adjoining same on the left bank of the Yungting River and the Hai River with Hopei Province (including Chiangshingtien, the neighboring hills and the area surrounding Tientsing).

#### "Plan No. 4

"Kwailai in Plan No. 3 is substituted by Lungmen and Yenching.

"B. The limit of our concession.

"(1) To indicate, if necessary, that we have an intention to voluntarily reduce the number of our troops as much as possible, within the extent of the number at the time of the outbreak of the Incident.

"(2) Abrogation of the Tangku Truce (including all commitments resting upon it), the DOHIHARAChin Te-Chun Agreement and the UMEZO-Ho Ying-chin
Agreement, with the exception of all understandings
based upon the Peiping Arrangement, namely: relative
to a) taking over of all the gateways of the Great
Wall; b) vehicular communication; c) establishment
of customs; d) opening of postal service; e) aviation; and f) promises to rigidly suppress anti-

Lungmen and Yenching.

"Plan No. 3

"Area to the east and north of the line, inclusive, connecting Tehua, Changpei, Kwailai, and Mentoukou and the area adjoining same on the left bank of the Yungting River and the Hai River with Hopei Province (including Chiangshingtien, the neighboring hills and the area surrounding Tientsing).

#### "Plan No. 4

"Kwailai in Plan No. 3 is substituted by Lungmen and Yenching.

"B. The limit of our concession.

"(1) To indicate, if necessary, that we have an intention to voluntarily reduce the number of our troops as much as possible, within the extent of the number at the time of the outbreak of the Incident.

"(2) Abrogation of the Tangku Truce (including all commitments resting upon it), the DOHIHARAChin Te-Chun Agreement and the UMEZO-Ho Ying-chin
Agreement, with the exception of all understandings
based upon the Peiping Arrangement, namely: relative
to a) taking over of all the gateways of the Great
Wall; b) vehicular communication; c) establishment
of customs; d) opening of postal service; e) aviation; and f) promises to rigidly suppress anti-

Japanese movements and resistance and to prevent communization in those demilitarized areas.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"(3) Consent to liquidation of the Hopei-Chahar and East Hopei regimes and committing those areas to the free administration of the Nanking Government, proving the administrative heads of these areas should be men of prestige fitted for the attainment of Sino-Japanese reconciliation.

"In this connection, principles of economic co-operation between Japan and China in North China to be agreed on. This co-operation should, of course, take the form of joint enterprises and other similar plans, standing on the basis of equality between Japan and China. (NOTE: This item, especially the liquidation of the East Hopei regime, being a great concession on our part, consideration should be given to utilizing it to the fullest extent for bargaining purposes.)

"C. The UMEZO-Ho Ying-chin Agreement, though to be abrogated under B, still being effective pending the consummation of the present negotiations, the Chinese should withdraw their troops from Hopei Province where they are now stationed, in manifestation of their good faith.

"D. Parallel to the truce parleys under A,

B, and C above, it is requested that the Chinese should promise to enter into a negotiation with a view to adjusting Sino-Japanese relations unfettered by all past circumstances. The plan for this latter negotiation will be forwarded by a separately.

"Remarks:

"1. As soon as the withdrawal of the Chinese troops from the demilitarized areas and the evacuation of the Central Army from Hopei Province is completed, upon the consummation of the truce between Japan and China, voluntary withdrawal of our troops will follow. Upon the conclusion of the truce, we will announce in a suitable manner our intention of withdrawing our troops.

"2. It is further proposed that, upon the completion of the truce parley, both Japan and China should issue a statement to the effect that they are entering an era of a 'new deal' sincerely devoted to amity between the two countries, setting aside all past occurrences."

I shall read exhibit 3280-B:
"FROM: FOREIGN MINISTER HIROTA
"TO: AMBASSADOR KAWAGOE (CHINA)
"DISPATCHED: August 8, 1937
"SUBJECT: TRUCE NEGOTIATION

7 8

B, and C above, it is requested that the Chinese should promise to enter into a negotiation with a view to adjusting Sino-Japanese relations unfettered by all past circumstances. The plan for this latter negotiation will be forwarded by a separately.

#### "Remarks:

"1. As soon as the withdrawal of the Chinese troops from the demilitarized areas and the evacuation of the Central Army from Hopei Province is completed, upon the consummation of the truce between Japan and China, voluntary withdrawal of our troops will follow. Upon the conclusion of the truce, we will announce in a suitable manner our intention of withdrawing our troops.

"2. It is further proposed that, upon the completion of the truce parley, both Japan and China should issue a statement to the effect that they are entering an era of a 'new deal' sincerely devoted to amity between the two countries, setting aside all past occurrences."

I shall read exhibit 3280-B:
"FROM: FOREIGN MINISTER HIROTA
"TO: AMBASSADOR KAWAGOE (CHINA)
"DISPATCHED: August 8, 1937
"SUBJECT: TRUCE NEGOTIATION

"No. 171 CODE (STRICTLY SECRET. URGENT.

TO BE HANDLED IN THE SAME MANNER AS MESSAGE IN EXCLUSIVE CODE FOR CHIEFS OF MISSION)

"Explanation to separate telegram No. 170:

"1. The purpose of proposing the line connecting Mentoukou, Chochu, Kuan .... Kaoshachen is to
include the strategically important hills on the right
bank of the Yungting and Hai Rivers and all important
points such as Tientsin and Taku.

Army set forth under C, it is only natural that we should demand first of all this step, inasmuch as we have always insisted that the presence of the Central Army in Hopei Province is in violation of the UMEZO-Ho Ying-chin Agreement. Hence, no truce parley can materialize so long as this requirement is not carried out!

"3. As for the liquidation of the East Hopei regime, the Army was very reluctant to agree but finally gave in, being persuaded that this might help in directing the negotiation to our advantage. You are requested to pay special attention to this point referred to in the note to (B).

"4. As for the negotiation for the adjustment of Sino-Japanese relations under D, it would be most

desirable to carry it on side by side with the truce parley, if there is a prospect of quick agreement. However, it is extremely undesirable that the truce parley should be delayed on account of the negotiation for the adjustment of diplomatic relations, causing unexpected complications and setting all to nought. You are requested to bear this point particularly in mind."

I shall now read exhibit 3280-C:
"FROM: FOREIGN MINISTER HIROTA
"TO: AMBASSADOR KAWAĞOE (CHINA)
"DISPATCHED: August 8, 1937

"SUBJECT: OUTLINE OF THE PLAN FOR OVERALL ADJUSTMENT OF SINO-JAPANESE RELATIONS

"No. 172 CODE (STRICTLY SECRET. URGENT.

TO BE HANDLED IN THE SAME MANNER AS MESSAGE IN EXCLUSIVE CODE FOR CHIEFS OF MISSION)

"1. Political Affairs.

"(1) China is to recognize Manchukuo, or else to make a tacit promise not to make an issue of Manchukuo henceforth. (It is preferable, depending upon the attitude of China, to make this one of the conditions of the truce mentioned in my telegram No. 170.)

"(2) Conclusion of an anti-communist pact

1 between Japan and China. (The prevention of communism 2 in the demilitarized area will be covered by this, but 3 particularly rigid enforcement is required within this area.)

"(3) Beside the liquidation of East Hopei and Hopei-Chahar as a condition of the truce, Japan is 7 ready to discuss with the Nanking Government the subject of Inner Mongolia and Suiyuan, and in case they admit our just demands (mostly covered by (2) above), we will not insist on excluding the influence of Nanking from these areas.

"(4) China is to suppress rigorously anti-Japanese movements and resistance to Japan throughout the country and enforce to the letter the 'good neighbor policy.' (Needless to say that control of anti-Japanese movements and resistance to Japan in the demilitarized area should be enforced with particular rigor.)

"2. Military Affairs.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

- "(1) Abrogation of the Shanghai Truce Agree-(In case the Chinese wish this to be fully utilized for bargaining with regard to the whole negotiation.)
  - "(2) Desistance from arbitrary aviation.
  - Economy Affairs.

"(1) Reduction of tariff rates of certain commodities.

"(2) Abolishment of special trade of East
Hopei, as a matter of course, and recovery by the
Chinese of the freedom to control smuggling on the sea
belonging to the demilitarized area.

"(3) Promotion of the normal increase and development of economic contact and trade between both countries."

. 1

I shall now read exhibit 3280-D: . 1 Foreign Minister HIROTA "From: 2 Ambassador KAWAGOE (China) "To : 3 "Dispatched: August 8, 1937 4 Truce Negotiations "Subject: "No. 175 Code (Strictly Secret. Urgent. 6 be handled in the same manner as message in exclusive 7 code for Chiefs of Mission. Departmental Secret.) "Reference my telegram No. 170. Strictly for 9 your personal information. (Reference to this telegram 10 to be avoided.) 11 "1. As the War Minister keeps the plans for 12 truce and for the adjustment of diplomatic relations under closest secrecy, owing to the existence of strong 14 opposition among the military, and as only the Vice-15 Minister, Director of the Military Affairs Bureau, Chief 16 of the Military Affairs Section and a very limited number 17 of the highest officers of the General Staff are taken 18 19 into confidence, you will bear this in mind and treat 20 these as strictest departmental secrets. 21 "2. Plan No. 1 under A of my telegram No. 170 22 is an ideal plan. We might content ourselves finally 23 with agreement upon plans No. 3 or No. 4 (in that case, 24 to put on the form that we conceded to the insistence of

25

the Chinese).

If the Chinese desire to fix a term with respect to the establishment of a demilitarized zone 113. under A of my telegram No. 177, we are prepared to consider .t.

"Transmitted To: Shanghai."

We offer in evidence (efense document 206-D(6). Tris is also part of the diary of Ambassador Grew dated 8 September 2.0, 1937 and shows the attitude of Mr. HIROTA in the face of American representations about bombing operations in China. I shall read only the last paragraph.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Brown.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the prosecution objects to part of this document. The part to which was object is the last hal? of the second paragraph, beginning with the words "Of course it is these young; hotheads ... " That, in our submission, is pure opinion.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, it purports to fix the responsibility which is really for us.

MR. YAMAOKA: If the Tribunal please, with great respect I submit that Ambassador Crew here is merely stating the conditions as he then saw them.

THE PFFSIDENT: It is only that one sentence that seems to be objectionable, relating to the "hotheads."

24

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. YAMAOKA: If the Tribunal please, I am perfectly agreeable to the deletion of that sentence.

THE PRESIDENT: You are asking for the admission of only the second paragraph, with that sentence deleted.

The second paragraph, with that sentence deleted, is admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 206-D(6) will receive exhibit 3281.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3281 and received in evidence.)

MR. YAMAOKA: If the Tribunal please, I shall read exhibit 3281 as admitted:

"September 20, 1937 Kawana

impression because HIROTA went to see the Emperor early the next morning and later we began to notice concrete indications that the Government was getting disturbed about the effects of the indiscriminate bombings and were taking steps to control the aviators. Probably as a result of our representations and of the reactions they are getting from abroad, the Government later sent an admiral down to Shanghai to discuss this situation with HASEGAWA, the Commander in Chief, and word was sent out that there would be no further bombing of

2

4 5

5

0

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

24

Nanking after September 25."

7

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

We offer in evidence defense document 206-D(7), a further excerpt from the diary of Ambassador Grew dated September 20, 1937, which shows the attitude of Mr. HIROTA in the face of American representations and reports the conversation between them.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Brown.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the prosecution objects to part of this document. We object, in fact, to the last paragraph as containing merely opinion in the second part of it; and the first part of that last paragraph, in our submission, is immaterial.

MR. YAMAOKA: If the Tribunal please, I respectfully submit that this is proper evidence before this Tribunal.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection is overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 206-D(7) will receive exhibit No. 3282.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3282 and received in evidence.)

MR. YAMAOKA: I shall read exhibit No. 3282: "STRONG WORDS TO A HELPLESS GOVERNMENT" September 20, 1937

"My conversation with the Minister for Foreign

2 Affairs at his official residence at 6 o'clock this

3 evening was prefaced by a word of appreciation from me

4 with regard to the arrangements made by the Japanese

5 authorities to avoid bombing the Hankow-Canton railway

6 during the evacuation of Americans on September 22 and

7 26. The Minister inquired if I had received his note

8 concerning the bombing of the American missionary hospital

9 at Waichow, to which I replied in the affirmative and

10 expressed further appreciation of the Minister's ex
11 pressions of regret and the offer to consider indemnifi
2 cation.

Japanese naval forces to bomb Nanking commencing tomorrow at noon, and I made to the Minister the most emphatic and earnest representations with regard to the serious danger to which foreign diplomatic establishments and personnel, as well as other noncombatants, would inevitable be subjected if such a course is pursued. I spoke of the very serious effect which would be produced in the United States on the American Government and people if some accident should occur in connection with those operations, and I then spoke of the steadily mounting feeling which is developing in the United States and in other countries against Japan, which by her course

of action is laying up for herself among the peoples of the world a liability of distrust and suspicion, popular antipathy, and the possibility of Japan's becoming ostracized from the family of nations. I said to the Minister that the good will between our countries which he and I had been building up during these past years was rapidly dissolving as a result of Japan's action in China and that while the American people are patient they are nevertheless easily aroused by some serious incident involving their legitimate interests abroad, and that I am constantly dreading the effects in my country which would undoubtedly be called forth if as a result of Japanese operations in China some serious incident should occur which the American people would feel had touched their honor.

responsibility for guiding Japan's foreign relations and for restraining the Japanese naval and military forces in C ina from their course of action which is rapidly causing Japan to lose the world's good will and is building up abroad an almost universal sentiment of ntagonism against his country. I said that the military and naval forces did not understand and appeared not to care about Japan's foreign relations and her position in the world and it was therefore his own

responsibility to guide the course of action which is now being pursued in China. The force and directness of my statements and appeal left nothing whatever to Mr. HIROTA's imagination. I tried to bring home to the Minister with maximum effect the certain repercussion which would occur in the United States if some serious accident involving American interests were to happen in connection with the proposed bombing of Nanking.

"Mr. HIROTA made no effort to counter my observations, but listened gravely and silently throughout my talk. When I had finished he obserted that four hours ago from Tokyo he had ordered the naval command in China to make every effort to avoid injury to the foreign diplomatic establishments or to noncombatants in Nanking in connection with the proposed bombing operations. I said that the afternoon press had reported that certain bombing operations in Nanking had already occurred yesterday, but the Minister said that these were unimportant and far away from the diplomatic establishments. With regard to the warning by the Japanese Navy that the bombing operations would commence at noon on September 21, the Minister volunteered the opinion that the warning was 'too short.'

"Although I talked to the Minister today with an emphasis and directness unprecedented since my

His demeanor was naturally graver than usual and he appeared to me to receive my observations rather sadly but without any effort whatever at rebuttal. While recent developments indicate that he has made and is making efforts to avoid antagonizing the United States by contioning the military and naval forces in individual local issues, we must reluctantly face the fact that the civil government in Tokyo has very little influence with these forces where their general objectives are concerned."

I desire to announce, if the Tribunal please, that No. 80 on our order of proof, defense document 2507, is hereby withdrawn, being that it is similar to exhibit 3262 which was introduced into evidence by the prosecution yesterday during the cross-examination of the witness HORINOUCHI.

We offer in evidence defense document 206-D(11)

another excerpt from Ambassador Grew's diary dated

October 21 and 22, 1937 to show the circumstances

Surrounding the declination on the part of Japan of the

Invitation to the Brussels Conference.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Brown.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the prosecution objects this document as repetitive. My learned friend

25

has just informed the Tribunal that the document is put in in order to inform the Tribunal as to the reasons for which the Japanese Government refused to attend the Brussels Conference. In our submission, there is already ample evidence on that point.

MR. YAMAOKA: If the Tribunal please, I particularly desire to indicate that the last part of the first paragraph I do not believe has been amply covered as yet.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Brown.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, in our submission, that part to which my learned friend refers is irrelevant and also extremely vague.

MR. YAMAOKA: If the Tribunal please, I believe the statement is clear and discloses one of the principle reasons why the invitation was refused.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 206-D(11) will receive exhibit No. 3283.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3283 and received in evidence.)

MR. YAMAOKA: I shall read exhibit 3283:
"JAPAN REFUSES TO ATTEND THE BRUSSELS

CONFERENCE

"October 21, 1937

"A diplomatic colleague said that he had yesterday made renewed representations to HIROTA, repeating the representations made on October 15, in an effort to persuade the Japanese Government to participate in the Nine-Power Conference at Brussels. He advanced the argument that the Japanese case had gone by default at Geneva and that Brussels would offer a further opportunity to present Japan's case, which would be carefully considered by the assembled powers who wished above all to be helpful toward arriving at a peaceful settlement of the Sino-Japanese hostilities. The Minister replied that since his last talk with the Ambassador he had consulted a great number of prominent people here and that the sentiment against participation in the conference, especially among the leaders of the political parties, was practically unanimous. However, as no invitation had yet been received, no final decision had yet been reached.

"October 22, 1937

"YOSHIZAWA told Dooman yesterday that so far as the Foreign Office was concerned, the Nine-Power

2

1

4 5

7 8

9

10

11

13

15

16 17

18

19

21

22

23

Conference invitation would definitely be declined, and that a favorable reply could not be expected so long as the invitation did not specify that the Conference does not arise out of the League of Nations resolution and the American Government's announcement of October 6."

We offer in evidence another excerpt from Ambassador Grew's diary, dated November 16, 1937, being defense document 206-D(14), reporting a conversation with Foreign Minister HIROTA.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document

206-D(1/) will receive exhibit No. 3284.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3284 and received in evidence.)

K a 1 p l 2 e

MR. YAMAOKA: I shall read exhibit 3284:
"HIROTA ACCUSES AMERICA OF INITIATING AN
ANTI-JAPANESE FRONT.

"November 16, 1937.

"HIROTA's talk with me was along the following lines: according to reports received from Brussels it appears that the draft final resolution contemplates united action against Japan; the Minister has seen only the original draft and doesn't know if it was passed without alteration or amendment, but if it dees call for united action he fears that a very unfortunate effect on Japanese public opinion will be created; 'united action' would appear to imply some sort of sanctions, such as an economic boycott, and far from helping to stop the hostilities, such action would merely encourage the Chinese and would result in indefinitely prolonging them. According to information received through the diplomatic representative of 'a certain power,' the United States not only took the initiative in proposing the Brussels Conference but is also taking the active leadership there.

"HIROTA said that these rumors were sure to appear soon in the Japanese press and that they would have a very unfortunate effect. Hitherto the Japanese public have felt that the country foremost in

u 4

5

K n a 7

9

11

13

14

15

17 18

19

20

22

23 24

developing a solid front against Japan was Great Britain, but if the press were now to report that the leadership at Brussels was being taken by the United States, the onus would be largely shifted to american shoulders. As the Minister had often told me, good relations with the United States were his fundamental policy and he greatly 'feared' the results of the possible developments which he had described. Up to recently the Japanese felt that America was the only country whose impartiality during the Sino-Japanese hostilities had been genuine; the impartiality of all of the other countries was doubted, owing to their special interest in China, but owing to the position taken by the United States it was felt that we might play an important role in helping to bring about peace just as we had done in the Kusso-Japanese war, as being the most impartial of all the friends of Japan. This situation had been somewhat altered by the speech of the President in Chicago, but in the President's address to Congress he had omitted mention of the Far East and this had given the Japanese public the impression that the attitude of the United States was perhaps not quite so 'strict' as had at first been feared.

"HIROTA then went on to say that the

3 4

1

2

6

7

8

10

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

Japanese campaign in China is going along smoothly and although the army can carry on if they consider it necessary, it will probably not be necessary for them to go much farther than they have already gone. It would be in China's own interest to bring about a peaceful settlement now. If the Chinese Government evacuates Nanking it will be a very foolish move; some of the generals are already forming an opposition to Chiang Kai-shek and his position is not too secure. The Japanese terms, if peace were made now, would be 'reasonable' and not a foot of Chinese territory would be kept by Japan, but if the hostilities are long continued, this attitude might change and in view of the increased sacrifices involved, more drastic terms might result. The way in which the United States could best help would be to persuade Chiang Kai-shek to negotiate for peace. If a willingness to negotiate were indicated by the Chinese Government, HIROTA would send a representative to Shanghai to talk, either publicly or privately, with a representative of China.

"At the end of HIROTA's remarks I repeated them point by point and asked if I had correctly understood everything that he had said, to which he answered in the affirmative. I told him that I would

2

1

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

23

repeat his observations to my Government and in the meantime I hoped he would do ever; thing in his power to prevent the Japanese press from publishing unconfirmed reports with regard to the attitude of the United States. I told him of wha; Eden had actually said in the House of Commons and of the definite denials of the State Department that our Government had taken the initiative in connection with the calling of the Brussels Conference and I told him once more just how its convocation had come about. HIROTA said that he agreed with me as to the importance of keeping the Japanese press quiet and that he would do his best. I also pointed out that the Chinese Ambassador was still in Tokyo and that diplomatic channels for reace overtures appeared still to exist. HIROTA assented but made no commerat.

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"It was significant that HIROTA's comments about the part we had played in the Russo-Japanese war and the implication that Chiar: Kai-shek's withdrawal from Nanking would render peace conversations more difficult were two of the points which Dooman had mentioned to YOSHIZAWA last night. The latter must have passed them on immediate; ty to the Minister."

We offer for identification book by Sir Robert Craigie, "Behind the Japanese Mask," and we offer in

evidence an excerpt therefrom being defense document 502, excerpt 13. This document records the efforts on the part of Mr. HIROTA and Ambassador Sir Robert Craigie to bring an end to the hostilities in China.

THE 'PRESIDENT: I understand that book is already marked for identification, as exhibit 2478 for identification. Do you tender an excerpt from it?

Mr. Brown.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the prosecution objects to this document as consisting entirely of opinion with the exception, in our submission, only of the second sentence in the first paragraph which alone is hardly worth introduction in view of the fact that it proves nothing.

I also wish to point out that there is no date on this excerpt to show when this was written.

THE PRESIDENT: It refers to talks in October and November 1937.

MR. YAMAOKA: If the Tribunal please, I respectfully submit that this is proper evidence before the Tribunal.

THE PRESIDENT: It is pointed out to me that it refers to the war having run into its ninth year, so that it was not written contemporaneously with the events at all.

.

.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, as the book, the whole book, is an exhibit for identification, may I point out that, unlike the book by Ambassador Grew, this is not a diary kept at the time.

MR. YAMAOKA: In reply, if the Tribunal please, I wish to state that while it is true that it is not a diary, and it was written probably subsequent to the events related in this excerpt, nevertheless, it is the statement by Sir Robert Craigie on facts then existing.

THE PRESIDENT: It is a judgment against the army, really. These are matters for us.

By a majority the objection is upheld and the document rejected.

MR. YAMAOKA: We offer in evidence another excerpt from Ambassador Grew's diary dated December 13, 1937, being defense document 206-D (17) reporting Foreign Minister HIROTA's part in the Panay incident.

I only tender the first page and the first two paragraphs on page 2 of this document.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Brown.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, of the part now tendered, the prosecution objects to the third, fourth and fifth paragraphs as being, in our submission, irrelevant. The third deals with sending a cruiser to

as st President Hoover. The other two are so short that, I think, it is not necessary for me to summarize them. THE PRESIDENT: Do I understand you are not 4 tendering the last two paragraphs, Mr. Yamaoka? 5 MR. YAMAOKA: Yes, if your Honor please, I 6 am omitting the last two paragraphs on page 2 from my tender. THE PRESIDENT: The objection is overruled 9 and the document admitted on the usual terms, to the 10 extent that it is tendered. 11 12 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 206-D (17) will receive exhibit No. 3285. (Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense Exhibit No. 3285 and received in evidence.) THE PRESIDENT: How much do you think you should read, Mr. Yamaoka? MR. YAMAOKA: I should be satisfied to read the last paragraph on page 1 and the first two paragraphs on page 2. THE PRESIDENT: Yes. MR. YAMAOKA: May I read that portion, if

I shall read the last paragraph on page 1

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

your Honors please?

and the first two paragraphs on page 2, of defense exhibit 3287. The entry is dated December 13, 1937, and entitled, "We receive the News of the Panay Sinking."

"Nothing more appeared until 3 o'clock when Alice told me that HIROTA had just telephoned that he was coming to see me at the Embassy. I said to her at once that if the Minister for Foreign Affairs was coming in person to the chancery, an unprecedented step, something terrible had happened, and of course I immediately thought of the Panay. HIROTA was in my office when I got down there and he at once told me of the reports of the sinking of the Panay and the Standard Oil ships by bombing by Japanese planes. He made no effort whatever to pretend that it might have been caused by Chinese planes and he expressed 'the profound apologies and regrets' of the Japanese Government. HIROTA seemed as genuinely moved as any Japanese is capable of registering emotion; he said, 'I can't tell you how badly we feel about this.' I went downstairs with him and saw him off in his car.

"The account of my call on HIROTA this morning was cabled to the Department at noon and I reported HIROTA's call on me at 3 P.M. The telegram added that both the Navy and War ministers had likewise expressed

2

1

4 5

6

8

10

11

13

14

16

17

18

20

21

22

24

regrets through the respective attaches."

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100 after which the proceedings

were resumed as follows:)

e n b e r & B

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed. THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Yamaoka.

MR. YAMAOKA: May it please the Tribunal, we offer in evidence defense document 502, excerpt 15, which is another excerpt from the book "Behind the Japanese Mask" written by fir Robert Craigie, showing the circumstances surrounding the shelling of the "Ladybird," the prompt anology on behalf of the Japanese Government by Mr. HIROTA as Foreign Minister at the time and trompt payment for the damages inflicted.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Brown.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the prosecution objects to this document which is, in our submission, from the description of it just given by my learned friend, obviously irrelevant. The last half of the second paragraph is merely the opinion of Sir Robert Craigie about Mr. HIROTA's feelings at the time. It also, in our submission, is subject to the same objection that we made to the previous extract from this book, that it is not a contemporaneous writing, that it is a book written considerably after the events which it describes.

THE PRESIDENT: The only really objectionable

thing is that sentence referring to the moral courage of Mr. HIROTA. By a majority, the objection is overruled and the document admitted.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 502, excerpt 15, will receive exhibit No. 3286.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3286 and received in evidence.)

MR. YAMAOKO: I shall read exhibit 3286:

1937, when the Japanese forces were pursuing the Chinese up the River Yangtse after the fall of Nanking. The British gunboat Ladybird was stationed above Nanking and every alteration in her position on the river was promptly notified to the Japanese Government. In the middle of November a Japanese battery of field artillery opened fire on the Ladybird and slightly damaged her superstructure. That the damage was not greater was not for lack of trying. As the shells fell all around the gunboat she came alongside the quay and her commander landed and protested to the commanding officer of the battery who, however, showed no sign of contrition.

"The reaction of the Japanese Government on this occasion was both prompt and commendable. As soon

4 5

as he heard the news Mr. HIRCTA, the Foreign Minister, called on me at the Embassy and begged me to convey to the British Government en expression of the Japanese Government's sincere regret and an offer to pay full compensation for the damage to the ship. Mr. HIROTA had obviously been very much upset by the incident and showed more than his usual emotion in conveying this message. Having regard to the excited feelings of the Japanese extremists, the Foreign Minister had displayed a considerable moral courage in taking the unusual step of calling personally on me to express regret. The apology was accepted by His Majesty's Government and the cost of repairing the gunboat was promptly made good by the Japanese.

"Thus, an incident which might have easily led to serious loss of life was promptly settled."

We next call the witness ISHII, Itaro.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

12

13 14

15 16

17

19

21

22

23 24.

25

ITARO ISHII, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. YAMAOKA:

Q Will you please state your name and address.

A My name is ISHII, Itaro and my present residence 1072 Nishigahara-cho, Kita-ku, Tokyo.

MR. YAMAOKA: May the witness be shown defense document 2149?

(Whereupon, a document was handed

to the witness.)

Q Is that your affidavit?

A This is my affidavit.

Q Is it in all respects true and correct?

A Yes, true and correct.

MR. YAMAOKA: I now offer in evidence defense document 2149.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2149

will receive exhibit No. 3287.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit

2

8

10

12

No. 3287 and received in evidence.)

MR. YAMAOKA: I shall now read exhibit 3287, omitting the formal parts:

3 4

"I entered the Diplomatic Service on November 11, 1915. After holding posts at various places in China, Europe and America, as well as in the Foreign Offic at Tokyo, I assumed the office of the Director of the Bureau of East-Asiatic Affairs on May 11, 1937, and remained in that office until November 8, 1938. After that I successively filled the posts of Minister to Thailand, Ambassador to Brazil and Ambassador to Burma, and retired from office on August 7, 1946.

"(2) On July 7, 1937, about two months after 13 I was appointed Director of the Bureau of Eas-Asiatic Affairs, the incident of Marco Polo Bridge broke out. 16 Our forces made a triumphal entry into Nanking about 17 December 13. Following that our acting Consul-General 18 at Nanking (Mr. FUKUI, Makoto), returned to his post 19 there from Shanghai. His first report to the Foreign 20 office from Nanking was about the atrocities of our 21 troops there. This telegraphic report was transmitted 22 without delay to the Director of the Bureau of Military 23 Affairs, War Office. At that time, the Foreign Minister, 24 being alarmed and worried about the matter, urged me that some step or other should be taken quickly to

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

suppress such disgraceful deeds. I told him in reply that a copy of the telegraphic report had already been transmitted to the War Office, and that I intended to warn the military authorities against the deeds at the coming liaison conference of the War Office., the Ministry of the Navy and the Foreign Office.

"Immediately after that the liaison conference was held in my office room. (The conference was held as occasion called, in the official room of the Director of the Bureau of East-Asiatic Affairs. It was originally attended by the Directors of the Bureaus of Military Affairs of the War Office and the Ministry of the Navy, and the Director of the East-Asiatic Affairs of the Foreign Office. At that time, however, it was customary that the Chief of the First Section, Bureau of Military Affairs, War Office, the Chief of the First Section, Bureau of Military Affairs, Ministry of the Navy, and the Chief of the First Section, Bureau of East-Asiatic Affairs, Foreign Office, sat at the conference for their respective superiors.) On that occasion, I brought forward the problem of atrocities, reminded the Chief of the First Section, Bureau of Military Affairs, War Office, of the high ideal of 'Holy War' and the glorious name of 'Imperial Army', and demanded to take strict measures to stop them immediately. The military

19 20

21

22 23

24

delegate shared my feelings and acceded to my demand. Shortly after that, a written report of the acting Consul-General at Nanking reached the Foreign Office. It was a detailed account, typewritten in English, of the atrocities of our troops, drawn up by an international security committee consisting of representatives of the residents of the third Powers in Nanking. Our Consul-General at Nanking had obtained a copy of it, and sent it to the Foreign Office. I read the report through closely and reported the outline of the matter to the Foreign Minister. On the occasion of the following liaison conference I showed the report in question to the Chief of the First Section, Bureau of Military Affairs, War Office, and repeated my demand, in compliance with the will of the Foreign Minister. The military delegate told me in answer that a strict warning had already been given to the Nanking Occupation Force. From that time onward the cases of atrocities grew less,

"About the end of January of the following year, 20 1938, if I remember right, the central leaders of the 21 Japanese Army sent a special envoy to the Occupation Force et Nanking. We learned afterwards that the envoy was Major-General HOMMA. After that, the atrocities in Nanking were exterminated.

"(3) The telegrams and written reports referred

8

19

3 4

5 6

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

24

25

to in the present affidavit ere not found now in the files of the Foreign Office, the originals as well as the copies of which having been lost in the fire during the war.

"(4) Foreign Minister HIROTA, as I was told, requested War Minister SUGIYAMA to take strict measures promptly with regard to the case of Nanking atrocities; I was informed of the fact by Foreign Minister HIROTA at that time. At the same time I made the same request to the compe' nt authorities of the War Office."

Jacobson Anny . Tony the Print Con the Back to the

THE PARTY OF THE P

THE THE SE ASSESSMENT WITH

to in the present affidavit are not found now in the files of the Foreign Office, the originals as well as the copies of which having been lost in the fire during the war.

"(4) Foreign Minister HIROTA, as I was told, requested War Minister SUGIYAMA to take strict measures promptly with regard to the case of Nanking atrocities; I was informed of the fact by Foreign Minister HIROTA at that time. At the same time I made the same request to the competent authorities of the War Office."

3

4

5

-

8

9

11

12

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

24

If the Tribunal please, I understand there will be further examination by some defense counsel.

THE PRESIDENT: For the accused MATSUI, is that so?

MR. ITO: Yes, sir. I wish to examine.
DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. ITO:

Q Mr. witness, in your affidavit you mention that immediately after the fall of Nanking you received a telegram from the Acting Consul-General in Nanking concerning the atrocities comitted by the Japanese Army. Now, this telegram -- I take it that it was in Japanese, was it not?

A Yes, it was written in Japanese.

Q How was the term "atrocities" rendered in Japanese?

A At that time there was no general term or special term used for the acts that had been committed.

Q I wish to ask, what was referred to by the term "atrocities"?

A The acts of the Army after they entered the walls of Nanking consisted of great incendiarism and looting.

Q At the conference of the secretaries of the ministries of Foreign Office, War and Navy were the

2

,

5

6

7

0

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

23 24

25

problems of infringement of foreign rights, such as the "Panay," the "Ladybird" and other problems, discussed at the time of the fall of Nanking?

A Of course, the questions of the "Panay" and the "Ladybird" were discussed at the liaison conference.

Q The despatch of Major General HOMNA to Nanking, was that not for the purpose of investigating into the ways and means of adjusting the relations between foreign interests and the action taken by the Japanese armed forces?

THE MONITOR: And to prevent any further infringement upon foreign rights and interests in the future, to study ways and means of doing that, wasn't that the principal purpose of Major General HOM: A's visit to Nanking?

A Well, I do not know the details of the mission which brought Major General HOMMA to Nanking; but from what I, myself, heard from the War Department, his purpose in making this trip was to enforce stricter discipline in the Japanese Army.

Q In your affidavit you state you discussed problems frequently with the Chief of the First Section of the Military Affairs Bureau of the War Office.

Now, is that not a mistake on your part?

3

4

6

8

9

10

12

14

16 17

19

20

18

21

23

25

A Is it a question as to the name of the person who held the office of the First Section of the Military Affairs Bureau?

MR. ITO: Mr. President, in order to refresh the memory of the witness, I should like to have him see evidence 3031.

THE MONITOR: Court exhibit 3031.

(Whereupon, a document was handed to the witness.)

Q If you will glance at that document you will see that in the Navy Department there are the First and recond rections in the Military Affairs Bureau -- Naval Affairs Bureau, but in the War Office there is no such thing as First and second rections of the Military Affairs Bureau.

A It may have been a mistake on my part to use the words "First Section," but I remember the name and the person who held the office.

THE PRESIDENT: What was his name and what was his office?

THE WITNEST: The person who held the office was Colonel Kaneshiro SHIBAYAMA.

THE RESIDENT: About what date did you get the report of atrocities from the Acting Consul-General at Nanking?

2

3

4

5

6

THE WITNESS: I do not remember the date exactly, but I believe it was immediately after the entry of the Japanese forces into Nanking.

THE PREFIDENT: And what is the date of the liaison conference?

THE WITNESS: With regard to that also I do not remember the date exactly, but I believe it was one or possibly two days after we received the telegraphic report.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes.

MR. YAMAOKA: If the Tribunal please, the prosecution may cross-examine.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY MR. COMYNS CARR:

Q Mr. ISHII, you say that shortly after the liaison conference you received a detailed account, typewritten in English of the atrocities of our troops, drawn up by an international committee consisting of representatives of the residents of the third powers in Nanking. As a matter of fact, did you not receive a whole series of such reports?

A Yes.

Now, in the last sentence of the same paragraph you say that from that time, that is, after the military delegate had told you that he had sent a

9

13

15

16

17

18

10

11

12

19

21

22

23 24

3

4

6

9

10

11

13

14

R

ch

16

18

19

20

22

23

25

warning, the cases of atrocities grew less. Do you not know that, in fact, from those reports, they continued as bad as ever down to the end of the first week in February, 1938?

A Yes, I do remember that. But what I point out in my affidavit, that such cases grew less -- that they were much less than on the large scale in which such acts were committed at the time of the occupation and entry into the city.

Q Did you not receive a report dated the 2nd of February, 1938 reporting no less than 76 cases of rape, murder, arson and robbery which had taken place in Nanking in the four days, January 28, 29, 30 and 31?

A I do not remember the date of the receipt of such a report nor as to the period of time covered, referring to -- in connection with these acts. I do recall, however, receiving a document reporting something over 70 such cases.

THE PRESIDENT: Did the military delegate tell you on what date the warning was given to the Nanking occupation force?

THE WITNESS: May I ask what you mean by military representative?

THE PRESIDENT: You used the term, "military

25

delegate," in your affidavit. I suppose you know what it means. At least that is the English translation of what you said.

THE WITNESS: I think that is the person whose name was just mentioned a little while ago, SHIBAYAMA, Kaneshiro, the colonel.

THE PRESIDENT: Will you repeat to him, language section, the Japanese of the following sentence in his affidavit in English:

"The military delegate told me in answer that a strict warning had already been given to the Nanking occupation force."

THE INTERPRETER: Mr. President, there is no such word as "military delegate" in the Japanese.

THE PRESIDENT: I am asking you to read from his affidavit in Japanese, that is the original affidavit, that sentence as represented in English.

THE WITNESS: When I spoke of military there I am referring to Colonel SHIBAYAMA, Kaneshiro.

THE PRESIDENT: Did he tell you when the warning was given to the Nanking occupation force?

THE WITNESS: I have not heard.

THE PRESIDENT: Was it in December or January, or later?

THE WITNESS: I am not sure of the dates

7 8

but I do not think many days elapsed since this first discussion of this matter was taken up in the Foreign Office.

THE PRESIDENT: It was shortly after Mr. FUKUI's report, was it?

THE WITNESS: I think it was shortly after the telegraphic report was received from Acting Consul-General FUKUI, after which this question was taken up by the Foreign Office and a liaison conference held, and so I think it was just a few days after or shortly after the first liaison conference that I gave the warning.

BY MR. COMYNS CARR (Continuing):

Q That is not what you are being asked about. What you are being asked was, when was it that the military delegate, the colonel you have mentioned, told you that a warning had been given to the Nanking occupation force?

A I have not heard of the date when a warning was sent by the Central Army Authorities to the Nanking Army Authorities.

Q When was the liaison conference -- it seems to have been the second that you refer to in your affidavit -- at which the military delegate gave you this information?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A I do not recall whether it was the second liaison conference or the third because such conferences were frequently held.

Q But you say it was immediately after you received the first of the reports of the international committee?

A I did not use the word "immediately" in the Japanese text of my affidavit. I think I said, "soon after," "subsequently," "a short time after."

Q How long after?

A I have no exact recollection as to the exact lapse of time.

Q Two or three days?

A I do not think it was such a short period of time as two or three days.

Q How often were these liaison conferences held?

A These conferences were not regularly or periodically held but my present recollection is that they were held once or twice a week.

THE PRESIDENT: Did you take the view that the Acting Consul-General's report called for immediate attention and immediate action in view of its nature?

.

THE PRESIDENT: Was it given immediate attention and immediate action taken?

THE WITNESS: The report was transmitted to the army immediately after its receipt from Na king. Then soon after -- when I say soon after, that means about two or three days later -- I sponsored at my office a liaison conference among section chiefs of the Military Affairs Bureau and passed on this matter, calling their attention to this grave matter.

THE PRESIDENT: Having regard to the nature of the report, can you say whether or not the warning was immediately given?

THE WITNESS: This is only my supposition but I think that the army took the matter up immediately.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, as I read the affidavit, what the military delegate was supposed to have said is that the warning had been given between the first and second of these two liaison conferences. THE PRESIDENT: How seriously they took the report depends upon the action they took and when they took it. He does not appear to have any information at ut that. Q Did the military man, the colonel you have

spoken of, tell you to whom the warning had been sent?

A I did not hear who the addressee was.

Q Now, when the reports continued to pour in from the international committee through the Consul in Nanking, what further steps did you take?

Mr. Prosecutor, you used the words, "poured in," or, "these reports continued to pour in," but according to my recollection they came in one bunch, once or perhaps twice.

MR. COMYNS CARR: I refer the Tribunal at this point to exhibit 323 which is a selection of these reports. The last one is numbered 58 and is da ed the second of February 1938 and is the one I mentioned to the witness with the 76 detailed cases enumerated, some of them referring to more than one individual.

2

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

Q When you received these later reports wasn't it obvious to you that the warning said to have been given by the Army was having no effect?

A Yes, I had the impression that the warning

A Yes, I had the impression that the warning issued by the Central Army authorities was not thoroughly taking effect.

Q Or did you have the suspicion that they had never issued it?

A No, I did not entertain such suspicion.

Q Now when you had read all the reports, would you agree, as to the total effect of them, with the description given by a German gentleman who made some of the reports, as noted on page 8 of Exhibit 329 in the last two paragraphs -- I will read it to you:

"The fateful days of Nanking have clearly shown \* \* \* the lack of discipline, atrocities and criminal acts not of an individual but of an entire Army, namely, the Japanese."

MR. YAMAOKA: If your Honor please, I object to that question as merely calling for the conclusion of this witness.

THE PRESIDENT: That is a German viewpoint, as we know according to the prosecution's evidence, and that can be rightly put to him as showing how much they knew and what they did.

7 8

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

13

14

16

17

18

20

21

23

25

Q (Continuing) I will read the next sentence before asking the witness to answer:

"It seems like mockery to see this bestial machinery appear as champion of anti-communism and outwardly stand up loudly for the renovation and liberation of China."

Was that the impression produced on your mind when you read all the reports?

A I have no recollection at the present moment as to what the German whom you just referred to wrote about. The only impression that I still retain in my mind is that the atrocities were very severe.

Q Did you report to HIROTA all of the reports that you received?

A are you referring to the documents pertaining to the 76 cases?

Q That, amongst others; all the documents forwarded to you by the Consul, being the reports of the international committee.

A Yes. All reports with respect to all of them were made to the Foreign Minister.

MR. COMYNS CARR. Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: I have some questions on behalf of some of the Members of the Tribunal. We may be able to get answers to them before lunch.

When did Major-General HOMMA leave Tokyo 1 and arrive at Nanking? The date of arrival at 2 3 Nanking will do. THE WITNESS: I don't recall the date. 4 THE PRESIDENT: Who were the central leaders of the Japanese Army who were responsible for sending 6 7 him? THE VITNESS: That I did not ascertain. THE PRESIDENT: Did Tokyo ever send enyone 9 else before HOMM. to make an investigation on the spot? 10 THE WITNESS: In my recollection I don't 11 12 think I have heard of that. 13 THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until half 14 past one. 15 (Whereupon, at 1200, a recess 16 was taken.) 17 18 20 21 22 23 24 25

## AFTERNOON SESSION

2 D u 3 &

4 5

1

> 9 10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

22

23 24

25

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1330. MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: With the permission of the Tribunal, the accused KAYA will be absent from the courtroom for the whole afternoon session conferring with counsel.

Mr. Comyns Carr.

ITARO ISHII, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. CARR (Continued):

Mr. ISHII, you had in the Foreign Office, had you not, a department whose business it was to study the press of other countries for any matters relating to Japan?

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Yamaoka.

MR. YAMAOKA: If the Tribunal please, I object on the ground that this is going beyond the scope of direct examination.

THE PRESIDENT: I feel sure it is going to

ISHII

be confined to atrocities at Nanking, if I am not greatly mistaken; I do not know.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Yes, certainly.

THE PRESIDENT: We cannot sustain the objection yet, Mr. Yamaoka.

A At that time the Foreign Office had an Information Bureau where studies of the foreign press and matters related thereto were studied, but I had no connection with that bureau.

Q Did that bureau report to you and to Mr. HIROTA that the press of the world was full of denunciations of the rape of Nanking?

A I received no report to the effect that the press, the foreign press was full of denunciations, but I received information from time to time from the Chief of the Information Bureau as to what appeared in the foreign press and in periodicals.

Q And did he report to you that there were many references to what was called the Rape of Nanking?

A Yes, I received reports each time such references were made.

Q And to whom were those reports circulated?

A I think they were circulated to the minister, vice-minister, and all bureau directors.

Q Were they circulated to the members of the

1

3

8

6

10

11

13 14

15

16

18

19

21

22 23

24

## cabinet?

A That I do not recall.

Q Wasn't it the practice that a resume of foreign press reports was circulated to members of the cabinet?

A I do not recall just what the Bureau of Information was doing then as a matter of practice.

Q Wasn't it for the information of the Japanese Government that these reports were searched?

A Mr. Prosecutor, may I have the question repeated? I could not comprehend it.

Q Was not the object of having these summaries of the foreign press prepared to inform the members of the Japanese Government?

A I should think that was the case, but from the time the Bureau of Information was established within the Ministry for Foreign Affairs I did not know what its objectives were.

Q Did you ever see a single reference to these atrocities in Nanking in the Japanese press?

A I do not recall.

Q I suggest to you that there wasn't one, and you know very well it was suppressed.

A I do not know whether or not such news items were suppressed.

9

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

24

ICHII

Q Did not the Information Bureau report to you whether there was any reference to the matter in the Japanese press?

A I do not think there were any reports with regard to what appeared in Japanese newspapers.

Q Now, did HIROTA bring this matter before the cabinet?

A I have not heard that it was presented to the cabinet. However, Foreign Minister HIROTA referred the question to the War Minister. I heard this directly from the Foreign Minister at that time.

I should like to clarify that a little further. Foreign Minister HIROTA requested the War Minister to take up the matter. Correction. The Foreign Minister took up the question with the War Minister -- so I was told by the Foreign Minister then.

Q But you have told me that the reports continued to come in from Nanking that the atrocities were continuing after that?

A Yes.

Q Did HIROTA take any further steps when you reported that to him?

A I don't think that Foreign Minister HIROTA took up this question with the War Minister frequently or many times. I think it was once or twice.

5

6

1

2

3

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

23

Q But I am asking you whether he took it up
with anybody else?

A I do not know.

Q There was a Cabinet Advisory Council on
China. Was it not a very suitable matter to be
brought before them?

A I cannot understand what you mean by the
Cabinet Advisory Council.

Q We have it in evidence on both sides that there was a body called the Cabinet Advisory Council on China, which was formed in October, 1937. Were you not aware of that?

A I presume you are pointing to the cabinet councilors.

Q Yes.

A But this body was not a body which was qualified to take up -- which in my recollection was not a body which could take up such a matter.

Did HIROTA ever discuss with you any further steps that should be taken to get these atrocities stopped? I think we had several discussions. 3 A Q What did he suggest coing? A He told me quite frequently to lodge serious 6 warning to the authorities concerned in the War Ministry. Q But we know that that had produced no effect. 8 Didn't you suggest to him that he should bring it up in the cabinet? 10 A We have never talked about bringing this 11 question up before the cabinet. My reason for saying 12 so is that I did not regard that the cabinet was a 13 body to discuss such a question. Q Why not? 15 A I think it so because the cabinet, as a 16 cabinet, was not in any position to deal with questions 17 which concerned the military in the field. Q Was it not necessary, in your position, for 19 you to know something about international law? 20 21 A Yes, of course. 22 Q And did you not know that the responsibility 23

was on the government and not on the commanders in the

A I can't quite comprehend the point in the

field for the treatment of prisoners?

que

2

3

5

7 8

9

11

12 13

14

16

18 19

20

22

24

question.

Q Now, according to your information, was any responsible person ever punished for these atrocities?

A I have not heard.

Q Did HIROTA ever take any steps to secure the punishment of the responsible person?

A I should think Foreign Minister HIROTA discussed that matter with the War Minister.

Q Did he bring that matter up in the cabinet?

A I have not heard the matter being submitted to the cabinet.

MR. COMYNS CARR: That concludes my cross-examination.

MR. ITO: You have stated a while ago that Mr. HIROTA lodged a protest to the War Minister on the basis of a report or reports that he had received from foreign countries concerning the Nanking Incident, concerning the army's actions in Nanking.

MR. COMYNS CARR: May it please the Tribunal, I object. Counsel for MATSUI has already put questions to the witness which were obviously in the nature of cross-examination, and, in my submission, has no right to re-examine.

THE PRESIDENT: He cannot do both. But he may get permission to further cross-examine if anything has

ISHII · 29,994

of MATSUI. But he has not asked for that:

MR. ITO: Then I should like to conduct examination on the basis of the point raised by Mr. Comyns Carr, with the permission of the Court.

THE IR ESIDENT: What point?

MR. ITO: The point is that in answer to Mr. Comyns Carr's question, the witness replied that Foreign Minister HIROTA immediately relayed protests received — relayed to the army — to the War Minister, the protests received from foreign countries concerning the Nanking Incident.

THE PRESIDENT: Why do you want to elucidate that?

MR. ITO: I should like to find out from this witness the attitude which Foreign Minister HIROTA actually took; whether he made this protest to the War Minister from his own independent position or merely because protests were received from foreign governments.

THE PRESIDENT: That does not help you one way or the other.

MR. ITO: Then I shall cease further examination THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Yamaoka.

"R. YAMAOKA: May it please the Tribunal, I

2 10

1

5

7 8

9

10

12

13

14

16

18

19

20

22

24

should like to ask a few questions on redirect.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. YAMAOKA:

Q Mr. ISHII, when you received these reports from Nanking, did you and the Foreign Office accept them at face value?

Mowever, yes, generally speaking we accepted them at their face value, although there were many points of duplication between reports received from foreign sources, which also included reports from the Chinese, and we believed that there might have been, or there may be, duplication in the reports received both from foreign sources and from Chinese sources. But we generally took these at face value.

Q Then, the action of the Foreign Office and yourself in calling the liaison conferences and issuing the warnings to the military was based on this assumpti

A Yes, that is so.

Q In addition to what you have told us that the Foreign Office and yourself did immediately after the receipt of these reports, was there anything further that the Foreign Minister and the Foreign Office could have done, under the circumstances?

MR. COMYNS CARR: I object to that question.

In my submission, it is a matter for the Tribunal.

THE PRESIDENT: Objection allowed.

MR. YAMAOKA: If the Tribunal please, my learned friend here has more or less laid the foundation for that question by asking whether it should have been brought up before the cabinet meeting or not.

Such a question could not THE PRESIDENT: arise of t of any answer in cross-examination. That is the test.

the Thomas - Difference of Million & State of State of

11

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12 13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

MR. YAMAOKA: Well, I should like to ask this G 1 further question, if your Honor please. e 2 Why could you not take any further steps than n 3 you did? 4 e MR. COMYNS CARR: In my submission, that is 5 open to the same objection. & 6 THE PRESIDENT: Objection upheld. L 7 efil Why did you not take any further steps than 8 you did? e 9 The Foreign Minister could not do more than 10 that -- the Foreign Office could not do more than that 11 from the standpoint of the authority in its possession. 12 MR. YAMAOKA: That is all, your Honor. 13 May the witness be released on the usual 14 15 terms? THE PRESIDENT: He is released accordingly. 16 (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) 17 18 19

MR. YAMAOKA: If your Honors please, we next offer in evidence defense document 2539, being the affidavit of HAYASHI, Kaoru, of the Foreign Office, showing that the originals as well as the copies of telegrams and written reports referred to in defense document 2149, the affidavit of the witness ISHII, were lost by fire during the war and cannot be found

b

g

20

21

22

23 24

in the files of the Foreign Office. 1 I shall not read this document. 2 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 3 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2539 4 will receive exhibit No. 3287-A. 5 (Whereupon, the document above 6 referred to was marked defense exhibit 7 No. 3287-A and received in evidence.) 8 MR. YAMAOKA: We now beg to refer to exhibit 9 328 in evidence. This exhibit was only partially 10 read. We propose to read additional excerpts marked 11 on pages 23 and 24 in order to show that the Foreign 12 Office took action upon receipt of complaints in-13 volving alleged atrocities committed by Japanese 14 troops in Nanking on and after December 13, 1937. 15 I propose to read from the last sentence on 16 page 23, paragraph 6: 17 "From Tokyo '75 February 4, 12, noon Depart-18 ment's 33 February 2, 5, p.m. looting of American 19 property by Japanese forces in China. 20 "(one) I handed a formal note to the Mini-21 ster of Foreign Affairs at nine o'clock this morning 22 reviewing the reports of depredations and presenting 23 the substance of the final paragraph of the Depart-24 1 25 ment's instructions.

"(two) Having received from the Department 1 2 no comment on my supplementary oral representations 3 made to the Minister for Foreign Affairs \_ 4 (17) our 34 January 17, 1 p.m. encroach I assume that they were not disapproved and I therefore took an 6 equally strong attitude in my talk with HIROTA today. 7 I told him that the data which I was now presenting 8 in our note effectually disproved the reports which had come to me from Japanese sources that we were 10 depending upon Chinese information for our information and I said that we were now giving certain precise dates on which looting had been observed by American 12 Citizens. I spoke of the steady mounting evidence of 13 Japanese depredations which was coming before the 14 American public; that the inflammable effect of this 15 evidence should not be overlooked or minimized and that 16 the patience of the American people was not inexhaust-17 ible. I said to the Minister once again in strong 18 terms that I was becoming increasingly disturbed at 19 the situation and the future outlook for Japanese 20 American relations. I asked the Minister for a pre-21 cise statement which I might convey to my Government 22 in reply to its assumptions and expectations of assur-24 ances.

25

"(three) The Minister said that the strictest

possible instructions had gone out from General Headquarters to be handed down to all Commanders in China to the effect that these depredations must cease and that Major General HOMMA had been sent to Nanking to investigate and to ensure compliance. HIROTA said that he confidentially expects the immediate cessation of such looting. He furthermore authorized me to inform you that in the light of the investigations now being carried out full indemnification will be made for losses and damages inflicted."

At this point, if the Tribunal please, I desire to direct the attention of the Tribunal to exhibit 972-G, record 9,521. This was the last principle address by Mr. HIROTA in the Diet on January 22, 1938.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Only a part of it was read into the record, and while I do not propose to read the balance at the present time, I do desire to state that we intend to rely on the entire speech.

We offer in evidence defense document 2157.

This is an answer of Foreign Minister HIROTA before
the House of Representatives Committee on the Budget
at the 73rd session of the Imperial Diet on January
29, 1938.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2157 1 will receive exhibit No. 3288. (Whereupon, the document above 3 referred to was marked defense document 4 No. 3288 and received in evidence.) 5 MR. YAMAOKA: I shall read exhibit 3288: 6 "MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS OF THE HOUSE OF 7 REPRESENTATIVES COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET AT THE 73RD SESSION OF THE IMPERIAL DIET. 29 JANUARY 1938. 9 "Answer of the Minister of State. 10 "Minister of State HIROTA. 11 "As to China's future, Japan hopes for the 12 13 14

establishment of a government capable of carrying out a policy of anti-Communism, while continuing cordial relations with our country and, in doing so, I want to help the regeneration of the Chinese. Therefore, Japan does not have the slightest idea to establish a government and separate it from the rest of China, believing that the unification of all China is necessary for the integrity of China,"

We offer in evidence defense document 2165, being a statement made by Mr. HIROTA before the Committee of the Budget of the House of Peers at the 73rd session of the Imperial Diet on March 4, 1938. THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2165 will receive exhibit No. 3289.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3289 and received in evidence.)

MR. YAMAOKA: I will read exhibit 3289:

"N" NUTES OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE BUDGET

COMMITTEE OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES AT THE 73RD

"Answer of the Minister of State.

"Minister of State HIROTA.

SESSION OF THE IMPERIAL DIET. 4 MARCH 1938.

"I think it would be well if in the future there is an opportunity for the powers-to discuss naval disarmament."

(Thorsages, a forthead your Franki

---

We next call the witness ARITA, Hachiro. HACHIRO ARITA, recalled as a witness on behalf of the defense, having been previously 4 sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters 5 as follows: 6 You are still on your former THE PRESIDENT: 7 oati. 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. YAMAOKA: 10 Will you kindly state your name and address. 11 My name is ARITA, Hachiro. My address is 911 12 Yoyogi Nishigahara-machi, Shibuya-ku, Tokyo. 13 MR. YAMAOKA: May the witness be shown defense 14 document 2552? 15 (Thereupon, a document was handed 16 to the witness.) 17 Is that your affidavit? Q 18 Yes. A 19 Is it in all respects true and correct? 20 Yes. 21 MR. YAMAOKA: I offer in evidence defense 22 document 2552. 23 THE PREFIDENT: Mr. Comvns Carr. 24 MR. COMYNS CARR: May it please the Tribunal, 25

prosecution objects to paragraph 4 of this affidavit, especially the first part of it which is a general statement about the opinions held by unnamed military men and unnamed members of the intellectual class. The second part would be equally objectionable but for the fact that in the last sentence the witness says that Mr. HIROTA set forth a similar opinion to that attributed to unnamed persons. We have not pressed an objection to evidence of that type. We are leaving it to the Tribunal to assess what value there may be in it.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Yamaoka.

MR. YAMAOKA: May it please the Tribunal, I respectfully submit that this witness is stating the conditions as of the time of the outbreak of the Manchurian Incident and afterwards, and we have included this in order that the Tribunal may have a complete picture of the situation.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the Tribunal sustains the objection, that is, as to the first part of paragraph 4 of the affidavit, and admits the balance of the affidavit on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2552 will receive exhibit No. 3290.

(Whereupon, the document above

4 5

referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3290 and received in evidence.)

MR. YAMAOKA: I shall read exhibit 3290, omitting the formal parts:

"(1) I was appointed as an eleve-consul on December 28, 1909, remaining in the service of the Foreign Office till I assumed the office of Foreign Minister in the HIROTA Cabinet on April 2, 1936, and resigning from the office on February 2, 1937. Later, I was Foreign minister in the KONOYE and HIRANUMA Cabinets from October 1938 to August 1939, and Foreign Minister in the YONAI Cabinet from January 1946 to July of the same year.

"(2) Mr. HIROTA was appointed ftate Councillor in the YONAI Cabinet. The system of the ftate
Councillors had been established in the time of the
first KONOYE Cabinet. The succeeding HIRANUMA Cabinet
retained the system, with the ftate Councillors remaining in their office, but, when the YONAI Cabinet was
formed, three or four of them whose opinions were
apparently not in an agreement with the policy of the
new Cabinet tendered their resignation. Mr. MATSUOKA,
Yosuke, was among those who resigned. His appointment
as state Councillor was considered to be due to the
estimation of his knowledge and experiences in diplom-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

acy, and Fremier YONAI asked for my advice in choosing the man to succeed Mr. MATSUOKA in his office. recommended Mr. HIROTA, who was considered to be of opinions contrary to those of Mr. MATFUOKA, and he was appointed State Councillor in March, 1940. recommended him because I was well aware of the fact that he was a man of sound diplomatic opinions and that he was mainst the conclusion of the Tripartite Pact between Japan, Germany and Italy.

"(3) The YONAI Cabinet resigned en masse in July, 1940, and the Fecond KONOYE Cabinet was formed. It is a matter of common knowledge that the Tripartite Pact was concluded shortly after that.

"I used to call on Mr. HIROTA to exchange views with reference to diplomatic problems. One day after the conclusion of the Tripartite Pact, I called on him as usual and had a talk on various subjects. On that occasion, Mr. HIROTA reiterated his opinion 18 against the Tripartite Pact. He said he could not quite understand from what necessity the Pact in ques-20 tion had been concluded. The most important thing of the time should have been the termination of the China Affair. The said Pact would surely cause grave reaction on the part of the United States and Britain. China, of course, would not lose any chance to take

8

11 12

10

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

> 24 25

advantage of this state of affairs, and the settlement of the China Affair would naturally become all the more difficult. He further pointed out that Japan had so far been able to keep its economy going through friendly intercourse with Britain and the United States, and that, in case Japan fell in strained relations with Britain and the United States, its future economic development could never be expected. He then turned to the relations between Japan and the Foviet Union and pointed out the possibility of an undesirable change of the Soviet attitudes. He also expressed his deep anxiety about the disadvantageous effect which the conclusion of the Pact might have upon Japan's international standpoint.

"On the same occasion Mr. HIROTA told me that when the Cabinet called a meeting of the senior statesmen who had once held the portfolio of Premier to make a report before them of the Tripartite Pact immediately after the signing of the said Pact, Foreign Minister MATS UOKA, according to Mr. HIROTA, was the principal speaker and explained the Pact article by article together with circumstances in which the Pact was concluded, for about two hours. Mr. HIROTA told me that he put several questions to the above effect. Mr. MATHUOKA; however, only answered that it

was a matter of opinions, and no convincing replies were obtained.

"Of several meetings which I had with Mr.
HIROTA, I remember one which took place on April 16,
1941, when he revealed his feelings that the course
of the foreign policy of the Cabinet seemed very
dangerous, and deplored the fact that he was really
anxious about the future of Japan.

## "(4) .....

"In consideration of such circumstances, the opinion gradually gained in influence that it would be more desirable to choose the Premier from among the generals on the active list, or on the retired list, who could exercise strong control over the Army. It was so hoped because they expected that the military might become the more prudent in their behaviouss and the control over the reckless actions of some military officers might get the more effectual as a result of that. Mr. HIROTA also set forth the similar opinion in our frequent meetings."

I understand Mr. Brooks desires to conduct an examination of the witness.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Brooks.

MR. BROOKs: On behalf of General KOIsO, I would like to ask the witness a question on exhibit

was a matter of opinions, and no convincing replies were obtained.

"Of several meetings which I had with Mr.
HIROTA, I remember one which took place on April 16,
1941, when he revealed his feelings that the course
of the foreign policy of the Cabinet seemed very
dangerous, and deplored the fact that he was really
anxious about the future of Japan.

"(4) .....

"In consideration of such circumstances, the opinion gradually gained in influence that it would be more desirable to choose the Premier from among the generals on the active list, or on the retired list, who could exercise strong control over the Army. It was so hoped because they expected that the military might become the more prudent in their behaviouss and the control over the reckless actions of some military officers might get the more effectual as a result of that. Mr. HIROTA also set forth the similar opinion in our frequent meetings."

I understand Mr. Brooks desires to conduct an examination of the witness.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Brooks.

MR. BROOKs: On behalf of General KOIsO, I would like to ask the witness a question on exhibit

2214 which I have asked the Clerk to bring in. I would like the Page to --

(Whereupon, a document was handed to the witness.)

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. BROOKS:

Q You have been shown exhibit 2214. I wish you would look at the Japanese copy, Mr. ARITA. In the second part there is mentioned the name "ARITA" -- it is on the English copy at the bottom of the page -- and in the last paragraph your name is mentioned twice. On page 2 of the English, last paragraph, it says, "ARITA declared that this was a dual-purpose action..." Have you found that, Mr. ARITA?

A Yes, the middle of the third mage in the Japanese text.

Q Do you find down there, "Finally having been directed to the China conflict, ARITA emphasized that Japan's historic and racial mission lay in the establishment of a New Order in East Asia ..."? Did you find that, Mr. ARITA?

A Yes, I have located the passage.

Q Now, do you recall any interview with the Domei Radio on this point?

A When is that?

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, I object to these questions. Not having had any notice of them, I haven't got the document here, but I understand that it doesn't arise in any way out of the witness' present affidavit. As I have always understood, counsel asking supplementary questions are restricted just as much as counsel cross-examining to matters arising in the affidavit.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, if this witness in a simple answer can clear up this apparent discrepancy, let him do so although this is not KOIFO's case. We have all noticed this.

MR. BROOKS: I thought it was a matter we could clear up very shortly, your Honor. It would save time rather than get an affidavit and have it sworn to and all that; and I made no claim that it arose out of the affidavit. I specifically stated it was on exhibit 2214.

THE PRESIDENT: Did you make the statements attributed to you in the document you have just read?

THE WITNESS: I have just been handed this document. I have not had the time to scrutinize it closely, so I am unable to make any reply at the present juncture.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, it is only a short

MR. BROOKS: May I -

THE PRESIDENT: Let him read it.

24

25

Do you recall talking to any one that could

Yes, I have had occasion to talk with members

have transmitted these to Germany by radio or otherwise?

1 2 3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12 13

14

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

24

25

of press agencies, but I have no recollection of making anything -- saying anything relative to the subject matter in this particular document.

Do you have any explanation of why your name appears in this document after those statements?

A I do not. As a matter of fact, this document has just been handed to me and I have not read all of it and therefore I am unable to say.

MR. BROOKS: If the Court please, I would like to have the Language Section check. I understand this is a captured German document. I have just found out it is in German. I didn't know that originally. It is in German and seems to be a garbled report. I would like to have this referred to the Language Section to check where it says "No. 128" and thereon, to see if there is any explanation as to whether there is KOISU or ARITA, or any reasonable explanation of it, because, as I understand, they all deny any knowledge of it.

MR. COMYNS CARR: The prosecution has no objection to the matter being referred to the Language Arbitration Board, if the Tribunal please.

THE PRESIDENT: We have no German section of the Language Board. Is this original in Japanese? Is there any Japanese version?

MR. BROOKS: I understood that the original

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

14

13

15 16

17 18

19

20

22

23

25

of press agencies, but I have no recollection of making anything -- saying anything relative to the subject matter in this particular document.

Do you have any explanation of why your name appears in this document after those statements?

A I do not. As a matter of fact, this document has just been handed to me and I have not read all of it and therefore I am unable to say.

MR. BROOKS: If the Court please, I would like to have the Language Section check. I understand this is a captured German document. I have just found out it is in German. I didn't know that originally. It is in German and seems to be a garbled report. I would like to have this referred to the Language Section to check where it says "No. 128" and thereon, to see if there is any explanation as to whether there is KOISU or ARITA. or any reasonable explanation of it, because, as I understand, they all deny any knowledge of it.

MR. COMYNS CARR: The prosecution has no objection to the matter being referred to the Language Arbitration Board, if the Tribunal please.

THE PRESIDENT: We have no German section of the Language Board. Is this original in Japanese? Is there any Japanese version?

MR. BROOKS: I understood that the original

document was a captured German document and that the Japanese was a translation of it. I am not sure.

THE PRESIDENT: It seems to be in simple German. If there is any doubt about what it means you had better get a German expert to give evidence.

MR. BROOKS: Thank you, your Honor, for your indulgence. I thought this witness could clear it up. But I will get a German and try to straighten it out.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. COMYNS CARR:

Q Mr. ARITA, there is just one matter I want to ask you about.

At the end of paragraph 2 of your affidavit you say that you recommended HIROTA as a State Councillor in March, 1940, because you knew that he was against the conclusion of the Tripartite Pact between Japan, Germany, and Italy. On the 10th of August, 1939, which was a few days before the resignation of the HIRANUMA Cabinet, in which you were Foreign Minister, was resignation under discussion and was there discussion as to who should be the next premier?

A I have no knowledge whether there was any indication regarding the resignation of the HIRANUMA Cabinet toward the end of its career, that is to say, toward the end of August.

On that day, the 10th of August, did you meet Baron HARADA and say the following to him?

MR. COMYNS CARR: Language Division: document 38, the second line, beginning with the inverted commas.

(Continuing) "The Premier stood up" -- that is, you were reporting a five-ministers' conference, I suggest-"The Premier stood up and said, 'The "ar Minister came the other day and explained the army proposal. Since we," the HIRANUMA Government, 'had recognized the necessity of a treaty, we had done our utmost according to the pre-arranged plan. Although the "ar Minister, 'that is, ITAGAKI, "seys that this, Army's, proposal is not a hindrance to the pre-arranged plan, I, Premier, 'HIRANUMA, 'could not think of it as such.'

"The Premier asked all the members of the cabinet to ask questions, so various questions were asked.

The contention of the army is that the change of situation necessitates a military alliance. Its first step
is to follow the pre-arranged plan. In the event that
this cannot be done, the second step, that is, the conclusion of a military alliance, is to be followed."

Did you say that to Baron HARADA on the 10th of August, 1939?

A I do not quite have any definite recollection as to that.

THE PRESIDENT: "e will recess for fifteen 1 minutes. (Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was . Kan 3 taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:) 5 6 MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International 7 Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed. THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr. 9 BY MR. COMYNS CARR (Continued): 10

Q Mr. ARITA, did you know that at that time, that is, between the 10th and 12th of August 1939, HIROTA had informed Prince KONOYE that his opinions on this question of an alliance-- treaty with Germany were very similar to the Army's?

A No, I did not.

Q And for that reason Prince KONOYE was recommending him as the next Premier?

A No, I do not.

MR. COMYNS CARR: No further questions.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Yamaoka.

MR. YAMAOKA: If the Tribunal please, there will be no further examination on behalf of the accused HIROTA. May the witness be excused on the usual terms?

THE PRESIDENT: He is excused accordingly.

(Whereupen, the witness was excused.)

arten & Wo

B

14

11

12

13

16

15

17 18

19

20

22

23

24

MR. YAMAOKA: At this point we had intended to call Admiral YONAI, Mitsumasa as a witness, but we find that he is indisposed. I have spoken to my learned friend Mr. Carr about it and I understand that he desires to make a statement to the Tribunal, if your Honor please.

MR. COMYNS CARR: May it please the Tribunal, if Admiral YONAI were here for cross-examination I should ask him questions on the same lines as I have put to the last witness. His affidavit deals with the same matter. But, as there doesn't seem very much object in postponing the witness' testimony till he is well again, merely for that purpose, I have no objection to the affidavit being read. Of course, on the understanding that the absence of cross-examination does not mean acceptance of the testimony.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, Mr. Yamaoka.

MR. YAMAOKA: If the conditions set forth by Mr. Carr are satisfactory to the Tribunal, I should like to tender into evidence defense document 2575, the affidavit of Admiral YONAI.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2575

will receive exhibit No. 3291.

(Whereupon, the document above referred

\*

to was marked defense exhibit No. 3291 and received in evidence.)

uph to keep thotacterian Spirits of his original service 

MR. YAMAOKA: I desire to state, if the Tribunal please, that if at any time his presence is desired by the prosecution, why, we should be very happy to produce Admiral YONAI for cross-examination at a later date.

I read exhibit 3291:
"Deponent: YONAI, Mitsumasa - - "
THE PRESIDENT: Omitting the formal parts.
IR. YAMAOKO: Yes, omitting the formal part.
THE PRESIDENT: "I was in the affice - - "
IR. YAMAOKA: (Continuing)

January 16, 1940, to July 22 of the same year. Mr.
HIROTA, Koki, was State-Councillor in my Cabinet while
it lasted. One day during that period we had a talk
exclusively between ourselves when I was informed of
his opinions about the fundamental principles of Japan's
foreign policy. At that time an alliance with Germany
and Italy was being advocated by some of the Japanese.

"Mr. HIROTA stated, on that occasion, to the effect that the safer course for Japan's foreign policy was to keep contact with Britian and the United States and that the Cabinet should make the conciliatory attitude clear as soon as possible. He pointed out the danger that Japan might be involved in the war if she

concluded an alliance with Germany and Italy and pro-

3

7

10

13

15

17 18

16

19

21 22

24

fessed that he was absolutely against the conclusion of the proposed Tri-Partite Pact. "The Ftate-Councillors, however, had no com-

petency to participate in the decision of the Government and, accordingly, were not responsible for it. I listened to the above opinions of Mr. HIROTA as an instructive information."

Mr. HANAI will now continue the case.

THE PRESIDENT: Counselor HANAI.

MR. HANAI: I should like to call MATSUDAIRA, Yasumasa as my next witness.

14 YASUMASA MATSUDAIRA, recalled as a witness on behalf of the defense, having been

previously sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

THE PRESIDENT: You are still on oath:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. HANAI: Q Please state your name and address.

My name is MATSUDAIRA, Yasumasa. My address is 912 Ueharamachi, Yoyogi, Shibuya-ku, Tokyo.

MR. HANAI: May the defense document 2604 be shown to the witness.

2

3 4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

22

23

(Whereupon, a document was handed to the witness.)

Is the document which you hold in your hand, the affidavit, your affidavit signed by you?

Yes. A

Are the contents true and correct?

Yes.

MR. HANAI: I present in evidence defense document No. 2604.

THE PRESIDENT: Mrc Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: May it please the Tribunal, the prosecution objects to paragraph 2 of this affidavit on the ground that it merely purports to be information obtained from the accused KIDO as to what happened at the meeting of senior statesmen on the 29th November 1941. The written account of the accused KIDO of that matter is already in evidence as exhibit 1196, record page 10,452. This account therefore is merely repetition and if there are any differences, in my submission, it is not permissible to contradict KIDO's or supplement KIDO's written record of it by this witness' account of what KIDO said to him.

THE PRESIDENT: Probative value is the test and I suppose we prefer the writing to what this witness will tell us he heard from KIDO.

24

MR. COMYNS CARR: For the same reasons we object to the last sentence in paragraph 3. The witness doesn't there say where he got his information from at all as to the Conference of July 17, 1941, but KIDO's written account of it is exhibit 1117 at record page 10,166.

DIRECT

THE PRESIDENT: As I am reminded, we have been served with an affidavit by the accused KIDO.who:, is going to take the stand, apparently.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Yes, your Honor. I prefer to call it a volume.

MR. HANAI: This witness heard these matters from Marquis KIDO, but in his official capacity in line of duty.

KIDO's Diary, with reference to the Conference of senior statesmen on 29 November, has been introduced in evidence as court exhibit 1196, but the interpretation of the subject matter has been given a mistaken twist by the prosecutor in submitting the evidence.

With reference to court exhibit 1117, relating to the Jushin or conference of senior statesmen on 17 July 1941, the prosecutor apparently has no accurate grasp of its contents. The meaning or contents of these entries are given accuracy only through the testimony of this witness who has heard of these matters firsthand.

63 6

THE PRESIDENT: No, he doesn't say so. I am quite sure that if he heard these things firsthand he would have said so.

THE MONITOR: Slight correction to the last part of the statement made by defense counsel: The contents of the entry in the diary becomes accurate only through this witness -- can be understood accurately only through this witness.

MR. HANAI: This not being the defense of the accused KIDO, separate facts other than that presented now, or separate facts will be presented on his behalf later.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, you haven't yet explained how he can make clear what KIDO left obscure. The affidavit doesn't suggest that for a moment.

MR. HANAI: HIROTA's, his personal and customary views -- HIROTA's customary and private views should be voiced at this -- should have been voiced at this conference. That should appear. And this witness gives evidence to prove that the thoughts that HIROTA constantly entertained were also voiced at this particular conference.

THE PRESIDENT: He doesn't say KIDO told him any of these things. He says that he learnt many things ex officio from the Lord Keeper or from other persons.

2

: 1

6

. 7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

23

24

Who they are, we don't know. That applies to both statements.

MR. HANAI: Of course, the way -- the manner in which it is written may be different, but by laying both on the table may we be able to ascertain the true situation and facts.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, the affidavit is rather remarkable in that it doesn't disclose who his informant is.

The objections are sustained and the document admitted only to the extent that it is not objected to.

That is a majority decision:

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2604 will receive exhibit No. 3292.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3292 and received in evidence.)

IR. HANAI: I shall read exhibit No. 3292.

In the second line of the first paragraph of the affidavit -- Japanese affidavit, mentions Lord Keeper of the Privy Feal YUASA and Lord Keeper of the Privy Feal Marquis KIDO, but the Lord Keeper of the Privy Feal YUASA has been left out of the English text, and I should like to incorporate that now. I shall read:

"(1) I was in the office of Chief Secretary under Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal Marquis KIDO from June 1936 to November 1945, when the system of the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal was abolished. And, accordingly, I learned many things ex-officio from the Lord Keeper or from other persons with reference to the progress of the meetings of those senior statesmen xalled 'jushin' and the state councils held in the presence of His Majesty.

HIROTA by the orders of the Lord Keeper of the Privy real or to sit with him at various meetings, official or unofficial. In most of these cases he told me with regard to the Cabinet, that the state of things at that time pointed to the necessity of adjusting the eccentric way of the military, and that, for that purpose, there was no other way but to have military leaders occupy the responsible posts and assume the

>

3

4

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

helm of state with a strict control over the military, and that civil statesron lacked such capacity. As to the Imperial Headquarters, he told that its constitution should be enlarged by appointing some of the senior statesmen as members of its staff, instead of constituting its staff exclusively with military and naval officers, as it had included civil elements at the time of the Russo-Japanese War."

I understand there are questions from defense counsel repesenting the accused KIDO.

MR. HOZUMI: I am Counsel HOZUMI, representing the accused KIDO. I should like to make a short direct examination on behalf of KIDO.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

## BY MR. HOZUMI:

Q Marquis, you stated in the first part of paragraph 3 of your affidavit that you had from Mr. HIROTA his opinion with regard to the cabinet that for the purpose of adjusting the eccentric way of the military there was no other way but to have military leaders occupy the responsible posts and assume the helm of the state with a strict control over the military. Was Mr. HIROTA one of the Senior Statesmen when he expressed his opinion to you?

A Yes, I heard from him when he was one of the

e r S & Yelde

R

9

ch

Senior Statesmen.

Q Were you the chief secretary under the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal, Marquis KIDO, at that time?

A Yes.

Q Did you or did you not report Mr. HIROTA's opinion to Marquis KIDO, the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal?

A I reported.

Q Did you report to Marquis KIDO prior to October 17, 1941 when the Senior Statesmen conference was held after the fall of the third NONOXE Cabinet?

A Yes, I met Mr. HIROTA. I had met Mr. HIROTA previously from time to time and I knew that he entertained this opinion so, of course, I made such a report to the Privy Seal before that date.

Q When you reported Mr. HIROTA's opinion to Marquis KIDO did he just listen or did he do any talking?

A I have no particular recollection of that.

MR. HOZUMI: That completes my examination.

MR. COMYNS CARR: The prosecution does not desire to cross-examine.

MR. HANAI: May the witness be excused on the usual terms?

4

2

7

6

6

9

10

12

13

14

16

17

18

20

21

22

1941.

THE PRESIDENT: He is released accordingly.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

MR. YAMAOKA: May it please the Tribunal,
we next offer in evidence defense document 2610,
being the affidavit of Tadeusz Romer, who was the
Polish Ambassador to Japan, reporting certain conversations he had with the accused HIROTA during January

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2610

will receive exhibit No. 3293.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3293 and received in evidence.)

MR. COMYNS CARR: One moment, your Honor; I did not realize that this witness would not be produced.

THE PRESIDENT: The proof, the list of documents, does not disclose the name of any deponent. I
took it as a document being tendered direct and it is,
is it not? But you thought they were calling him.

MR. COMYNS CARR: It purports to be an affidavit and I understood he was to be called. We have given no consent to this affidavit's being read without cross-examination.

.17

THE PRESIDENT: The document for the time being is not admitted.

MR. YAMAOKA: If the Tribunal please, this affidavit was taken in London on September --

THE PRESIDENT: I see that.

MR. YAMAOKA: --and subscribed on the 12th day of September 1947. I believe, if the Tribunal please, that there are ample precedents in admitting an affidavit of this nature, especially when the witnesses live abroad, particularly in the States or in Europe.

THE PRESIDENT: With safeguards, that is so.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, I do not wish
to be in any way unreasonable about this. If I had
been consulted, possibly I might have consented but
I had no idea they proposed to tender the affidavit
without producing the witness.

MR. YAMAOKA: I respectfully submit, if
your Honors please, that during the prosecution's
case similar types of affidavits were accepted, and
we were requested to submit interrogatories, I believe,
if we did desire cross-examination of witnesses who
found it inconvenient or impossible to come here.

THE PRESIDENT: Subject to any objections you have as to the contents of it, Mr. Comyns Carr, we may

give you the right, if you wish, to administer interrogatories because we could hardly bring this witness across from the Old Country.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Yes.

Your Honor, I will then deal with it on its merits.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you had a chance to read it?

MR. COMYNS CARR: Yes, I have read it but I had not been told that he wasn't here.

Your Honor, the only material parts of it are the two extracts from the witness' notes given in inverted commas. The first is introduced by the words, "I gather confidentially," and the second is even more vague because it merely says that, "From HIROTA's reaction to my picture of the present political situation in Japan, I could clearly deduce that he shares my views."

In our submission matters of that kind, especially the second, are too vague to have any probative value.

MR. YAMAOKA: May it please the Tribunal, I should like to invite the attention of the Tribunal to Article 13, C-4 of the Charter.

THE PRESIDENT: HIROTA was not too articulate

2 .

1

4

5

6

7

3

9

10

11

13

14

16

.17

18

19

21

22

23

-25

on these occasions and the only question is what probative value opinions expressed in those circumstances would have. I should say statements of attitude, not opinions, because it would not be admitted as opinions.

MR. YAMAOKA: If I may respectfully submit, if your Honors please, during this period he was an Elder Statesman and attended the Jushin conferences; and, as I understand it, from the purport of even the cross-examination of some of the witnesses who have just appeared today, there seems to be considerable doubt about Mr. HIROTA's attitude in his capacity as a Senior Statesman.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objections are overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

admitted.

MR. YAMAOKA: May I request the number, please?

In hal been appoint to be according from fitting and

CLERK OF THE COURT: 3293.

MR. YAMAOKA: I shall read exhibit 3293:

"I, the undersigned, Tadeusz Romer, Polish
citizen, born in Antonosz on December 6th, 1894,
inscribed on the residents' lists of the City of
Warsaw, former Polish Minister (26 April 1937 to
1 Nevember 1937) and then Polish Ambassador to Japan
(2 November 1937 to 4 October 1941), former Polish
Ambassador to the U.S.S.R. (2 November 1942 to 26 April
1943), former Foreign Minister in the Polish Government
in London(14 July 1943 to 29 November 1944) and since
then residing in a private capacity at 32 Thornton
Street, London W.S., do make and swear to the following statement concerning the indictment as alleged
war criminal of Mr. Koki HIHOTA, former Prime Minister
and former Minister for Foreign Affairs of Japan.

"My personal friendly relations with Mr.

Koki HIROTA date from the time of my stay in Tokyo
as diplomatic representative of Poland, when, in June
1937, he became Japanese Foreign Minister and retained
that position for nearly a year. Memories of old
standing linked him with Poland for, as a young man,
he had been appointed to accompany Jozef Pilsudski,
later Marshal of Poland, on his tour of Japan in 1905.

It was during HIROTA's term of office and largely owing
to him that on October 1, 1937 the Polish Legation in

Tokyo and the Japanese Legation in Warsaw were raised to the rank of Embassies, in appreciation of the existing friendly relations between Poland and Japan. In these circumstances, I had the opportunity of meeting Mr. HIROTA more frequently, perhaps, than my diplomatic colleagues, and of conversing with him both officially and altogether personally on current political matters.

"These good relations between us persisted after Mr. HIROTA's return to private life. We visited each other, and frequently exchanged views, solely in an unofficial capacity, of course. From my notes, upon which I regularly based my reports to my Government, and which I was lucky enough to keep by me throughout my subsequent stay in Japanese-occupied Shanghai, and later in German-bombed London, I am able to quote the two following passages, translated from Polish.

They seem to me to throw an interesting light on Mr. HIROTA's clearly negative attitude towards the policy of the contemporary Japanese Foreign Minister, MATSUOKA.

"The following excerpt from my notes is dated 21st January 1941. A few days later, I had occasion confidentially to communicate its subject matter to my American colleague, Mr. Joseph C. Grew:

" ' ... I gather confidentially from HIROTA,

former Prime Minister and Foreign Minister, and ever a likely candidate for these posts, that he judges MATSUOKA's policy with the utmost severity. According to his critical views, Japan by her rushed and thoughtless access to the Axis Powers has imprudently and pointlessly deprived herself of all freedom of menoeuvre. She is being unwillingly forced down a dangerous slope, where she may one of these days suddenly find herself in full swing of wer against the United States...'

"Several days later, exactly on 30 January 1941,
I had Mr. HIROTA at lunch in my Embassy, together with
Sir John Lathan, the Australian Minister to Japan.
My personal notes contain the following record of our
conversation on that occasion:

" '...From HIROTA's reaction to my picture
of the present political situation in Japan, I could
clearly deduct that he shares my views, and is definitely critical of the policy pursued by the Japanese
Government at present in power...He agrees that a war
with the United States would be fatal to Japan...'"

We next offer in evidence another excerpt from Ambassador Grew's Diary, dated February 1, 1941, being defense document 206(100).

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the prosecution objects

œ

to this document. In the first place, it is plainly the opinion of Ambassador Grew as to what HIROTA was saying three years, all but three years, after HIROTA had ceased to hold office.

4

6

7

3

THE PRESIDENT: Well, there is a statement "that HIROTA has said MATSUOKA is following a foreign policy 'fatal to Japan'." That is the one statement of fact for what it is worth.

9

10

11

12

MR. BhOwn: Your Honor, it is admitted that whatever HIROTA may or may not have said, even in February 1941, if accurately quoted, and we are not absolutely certain of that, has no bearing on the policy pursued when he was a minister almost three

13

14

years before.

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

THE PRESIDENT: He is not charged as Foreign Minister. He is charged as an individual, and he is charged as party to a conspiracy extending over seventeen years, and, as I am reminded, he was an Elder Statesman at that time -- a Senior Statesman or Elder Statesman, whatever you call it.

The objection is overruled except as regards the first two sentences -- three sentences. However, at all events, the effect is that only the last sentence is admitted, from "We know that ARITA," down to "will be followed."

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 206(100) will receive exhibit No. 3294.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3294 and received in evidence.)

MR. YAMAOKA: I shall read exhibit 3294 as admitted:

"JAPANESE-AMERICAN RELATIONS NEVER LOOKED DANKER.

"February 1, 1941.

" \* \* \* We know that ARITA has been interpellating the Foreign Minister for days on end; we know
that HIROTA has said that MATSUOKA is following a foreign
policy 'fatal to Japan'; we know, according to several
prominent members of the Diet, that assurances have
quietly been given by the Government that a policy
aimed to avoid a clash with the United States will be
followed."

THE PRESIDENT: Have you any further evidence?

Mh. YAMAOKA: I have no further evidence.

I desire to state at this time, if the Tribunal please,
that upon the advice of his present counsel, the accused
HIROTA will not testify in his own behalf. Relying upon
the evidence presented in the general phases of the
defense case and upon the evidence adduced in this

individual phase, and subject to the further presentation of affidavits from former Ambassadors Grew and Bassompierre, and interrogatories from Sir Robert Craigie, application for which is now pending, this concludes the case in chief for the accused HIROTA.

THE PRESIDENT: An application for interrogatories to Mr. Grew has been before me for some days. I told my associate to inquire whether it was desired that I fix a time when it can be heard, and I was told there was no desire to fix it at present.

MR. YAMAOKA: If your Honor please, I believe that application is for interrogatories to Sir Robert Craigie.

THE PRESIDENT: I think you are right; it is
Sir Robert Craigie, and not Mr. Grew. At all events,
there is an application pending before me for interrogatories in the case of the accused HIROTA. I have been
willing to fix a time to hear it but the parties are
apparently not ready. I want to make that clear.
There has been no delay on the part of the Tribunal.

MR. COMYNS CARA: Your Honor, this is the first that I, or so far as I can ascertain, anybody on the prosecution's side has heard of either or any of these matters. In our submission, the proper

procedure for dealing with these applications for interrogatories is that the proposed interrogatories should be served upon the other side.

Your Honor, I understand it was served today; but I did not know anything about it, nor have we been asked to take any steps as to fixing a time for it.

THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until half past nine wonday morning.

(Whereupon, at 1600, an adjournment was taken until Monday, 6 October, 1947, at 0930.)